Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research

Application of Graph Theory in the Study of Topological Spaces and Their Connectivity

Sujata Tiwari
Research Scholar, P.K. University, Shivpuri, Madhya Pradesh, India

* Corresponding Author: **Sujata Tiwari**

Article Info

E-ISSN: 3050-9726
P-ISSN: 3050-9718
Volume: 06
Issue: 02
July – December 2025
Received: 13-05-2025
Accepted: 15-06-2025
Published: 20-07-2025
Page No: 603-606

Abstract
Graph theory and topology are two important branches of mathematics concerned with relationships, structure, continuity, and connectivity. Although graph theory primarily investigates discrete structures consisting of vertices and edges, topology studies spaces and properties that remain invariant under continuous transformations. The interaction between these fields provides useful mathematical techniques for representing and investigating connectivity in both discrete and continuous settings. This paper examines the application of graph-theoretic concepts to the study of topological spaces, with particular emphasis on connectivity, path connectivity, components, adjacency structures, open covers, and graph representations associated with topological objects. The study adopts a theoretical and analytical approach based on established concepts from graph theory and general topology. It explains how graphs can serve as discrete models of topological relationships and how topological realizations of graphs provide a bridge in the opposite direction. Special attention is given to connected graphs, paths, components, cut vertices, spanning trees, intersection graphs, and the nerve of a cover. The paper further discusses applications in digital topology, network analysis, computational topology, and topological data analysis. It concludes that graph theory provides an effective discrete framework for investigating many connectivity-related aspects of topological structures, while emphasizing that graph connectivity and topological connectivity must be related through a clearly defined construction rather than treated as universally equivalent.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/.JFMR.2025.6.2.603-606

Keywords: Graph Theory, Topology, Topological Spaces, Connectivity, Path Connectivity, Graph Connectivity, Nerve, Digital Topology

1. Introduction

Graph theory and topology occupy significant positions in modern mathematics. Graph theory is concerned with discrete structures in which objects are represented as vertices and relationships between them are represented by edges. Topology, in contrast, deals with spaces and continuous transformations and investigates properties such as connectedness, compactness, continuity, convergence, and separation. Despite their different starting points, the two subjects have a strong conceptual relationship because both are fundamentally concerned with the manner in which mathematical objects are connected and organized.
A graph can be written as

[G=(V,E),]

where (V) is a set of vertices and (E) is a set of edges connecting selected pairs of vertices. A topological space is represented as

[(X,\tau)]

where (X) is a set and (\tau) is a topology on (X), consisting of subsets satisfying the axioms for open sets. These structures are formally different. Nevertheless, under appropriate constructions, graphs can represent relationships among subsets or points of a topological space, while graphs themselves can also be given natural topological realizations.
Connectivity provides one of the most important meeting points between the two subjects. In graph theory, a graph is connected if every pair of vertices can be joined by a path. In topology, a space is connected when it cannot be expressed as the union of two nonempty disjoint open sets. Path-connectedness is a stronger topological condition: a space is path-connected if any two points can be joined by a continuous path.
These definitions should not be confused. A graph-theoretic representation captures topological connectedness only when the representation is constructed in a way that preserves the relevant information. This distinction is essential for a mathematically sound application of graph theory to topology.
The purpose of this paper is to examine the ways in which graph theory can contribute to the analysis of topological spaces, especially their connectivity structure. It also considers practical applications in digital topology, computational topology, networks, and data analysis.

2. Objectives of the Study

The major objectives of this research are:

1. To examine the conceptual relationship between graph theory and topology.
2. To explain graph-theoretic approaches to the study of connectivity and components.
3. To investigate the use of graphs for representing relationships among subsets of topological spaces.
4. To examine applications of graph-based topology in digital spaces, networks, and computational analysis.
5. To identify the advantages and limitations of graph-theoretic methods in studying topological structures.

3. Research Methodology

The present study follows a theoretical, descriptive, and analytical research methodology. It is based on mathematical concepts and results from graph theory and general topology rather than experimental data collection. Standard definitions concerning graphs, paths, connected components, topological spaces, connectedness, path-connectedness, open covers, intersection graphs, and simplicial constructions are comparatively examined.
The analytical method is used to determine how graph structures can model connectivity relationships arising in topological settings. Particular attention is given to constructions in which the relationship between the graph and the underlying topology can be stated precisely. The study also uses illustrative mathematical examples to explain the correspondence between discrete graph connectivity and topological structures.

4. Fundamental Concepts of Graph Theory

A graph consists of vertices and edges. Two vertices are adjacent if an edge joins them. A path from a vertex (v_0) to (v_n) is a sequence

[v_0,v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_n]

in which consecutive vertices are joined by edges.
A graph is connected if a path exists between every pair of vertices. If this condition fails, the graph is disconnected and can be decomposed into connected components.
The number of connected components of a graph (G) is commonly denoted by

[c(G).]
Thus,

[c(G)=1]

when (G) is connected.
Several other graph-theoretic concepts are relevant to connectivity. A cut vertex is a vertex whose removal increases the number of connected components. A bridge is an edge whose removal disconnects a connected graph or increases its number of components. A spanning tree of a connected graph contains every vertex while preserving connectivity with no cycles.
These concepts make graph theory particularly useful for studying discrete connectivity and identifying structurally important relationships.

5. Topological Spaces and Connectedness

A topological space ((X,\tau)) consists of a set (X) together with a family (\tau) of open subsets satisfying the standard topology axioms.
A topological space (X) is **disconnected** if there exist two nonempty open subsets (U) and (V) such that

[X=U\cup V]

And

[U\cap V=\varnothing.]

If no such separation exists, (X) is connected.
A related concept is path-connectedness. A space (X) is path-connected if, for any (x,y\in X), there exists a continuous map

[f:[0,1]\rightarrow X]

such that

[f(0)=x,\qquad f(1)=y.]

Every path-connected space is connected, although a connected space need not necessarily be path-connected. This difference is important when graph-theoretic path concepts are compared with topological connectivity.

6. Graph Representation of Topological Relationships

One useful way to apply graph theory to topology is to construct a graph from a family of subsets of a topological space.
Suppose

[\mathcal{U}={U_1,U_2,\ldots,U_n}]

is a finite cover of a space (X). An **intersection graph** may be constructed by assigning one vertex (v_i) to each (U_i), with an edge between (v_i) and (v_j) whenever

[U_i\cap U_j\neq\varnothing.]

This graph records which members of the cover overlap.
For example, suppose

[\mathcal{U}={U_1,U_2,U_3,U_4}]

And

[U_1\cap,U_2\neq\varnothing,\quad,U_2\cap,U_3\neq\varnothing,\quad
U_3\cap U_4\neq\varnothing.]

The corresponding graph contains the chain

[v_1-v_2-v_3-v_4]

The graph is connected, indicating that the members of the cover are linked through a sequence of intersections.
Under additional assumptions, such a construction can provide information about the connectedness of the union. For instance, if all (U_i) are connected and their intersection graph is connected, then their union is connected. This gives a precise example of how graph connectivity can be used to establish a topological conclusion.

7. The Nerve of a Cover

An intersection graph records pairwise intersections, but higher-order intersections require a richer structure. This leads to the concept of the **nerve** of a cover.
Given a family of sets (\mathcal{U}={U_i}), its nerve is a simplicial complex in which vertices correspond to the sets (U_i), edges correspond to nonempty pairwise intersections, triangles correspond to nonempty triple intersections, and higher-dimensional simplices represent higher-order intersections.
Thus, graph theory provides the one-dimensional skeleton of the nerve, while simplicial topology extends the representation to higher dimensions.
Under suitable conditions, particularly for good covers where finite nonempty intersections have appropriate contractibility properties, the Nerve Theorem establishes a strong relationship between the topology of the union and the topology of the nerve. This demonstrates how discrete combinatorial objects can preserve important topological information.

8. Graphs as Topological Spaces

The relationship also works in the opposite direction. A graph can be geometrically realized as a one-dimensional topological object by treating each vertex as a point and each edge as a copy of the interval ([0,1]), with endpoints attached to the corresponding vertices.
Under this standard geometric realization, graph-theoretic connectedness agrees with topological connectedness of the realization. Moreover, a connected graph realization is path-connected because vertices can be joined through sequences of edges.
This provides a direct and rigorous bridge between graph theory and topology. Cycles in a graph also become topologically meaningful. For example, a cycle graph geometrically resembles a circle, whereas a tree has no graph cycles and its realization has a fundamentally different one-dimensional topology.

9. Connected Components and Graph Decomposition

Connected components are fundamental in both graph theory and topology.
In a graph, a connected component is a maximal connected subgraph. In a topological space, a connected component is a maximal connected subset. Although the definitions belong to different mathematical categories, decomposition into components serves a similar structural purpose.
Graph algorithms can identify connected components efficiently in finite discrete representations. Depth-first search (DFS) and breadth-first search (BFS), for example, can determine whether vertices belong to the same component.
When a topological or digital object has been legitimately converted into an adjacency graph, these algorithms can therefore provide computational information about its discrete connectivity structure.

10. Cut Vertices, Bridges and Topological Interpretation

Cut vertices and bridges help identify vulnerable points in a network.
Consider the graph
[A-B-C-D]
Removing vertex (C) separates (D) from (A) and (B). Thus, (C) is a cut vertex. Similarly, every edge of this path graph is a bridge.
In a topological or spatial model represented by such a graph, these elements may correspond to critical connecting regions or links. Their removal may separate the discrete model into components.
This principle has applications in communication systems, transportation networks, biological networks, and spatial connectivity studies. However, the interpretation depends on how accurately the graph represents the underlying topological or physical system.

11. Application in Digital Topology

Digital topology provides an important practical application of graph theory. Digital objects, such as images, consist of discrete elements including pixels or voxels. Adjacency rules can be used to define graph structures on these elements.
A pixel may be represented as a vertex, while an edge indicates that two pixels satisfy a chosen adjacency relation. Connected components can then represent separate objects or regions within an image.
Graph-based connectivity is useful for:

- image segmentation,
- object recognition,
- boundary analysis,
- connected-component labeling,
- shape analysis, and
- medical image processing.

Digital topology demonstrates particularly clearly why the choice of adjacency definition matters. Different adjacency conventions can produce different connectivity structures from the same collection of pixels.

12. Application in Topological Data Analysis

Graph-based constructions also contribute to **topological data analysis (TDA)**. Complex datasets can be studied by converting relationships among observations into graphs or simplicial complexes.
For a point cloud, for example, points may be treated as

vertices and edges introduced according to a distance threshold. As the threshold varies, the connectivity of the resulting structure changes. This idea forms part of more sophisticated constructions such as Vietoris–Rips complexes and persistent homology.

At small scales, many isolated components may occur. As the scale increases, components merge. Studying these changes helps identify structural patterns in data that may not be evident through conventional statistical summaries alone.

Graph theory therefore serves as an important computational foundation for several methods that connect discrete data with topological analysis.

13. Advantages of the Graph-Theoretic Approach

Graph theory provides several advantages in studying topology-related connectivity problems.

First, it transforms appropriate connectivity relationships into discrete structures that are comparatively easy to visualize.

Second, graph algorithms provide efficient computational methods for identifying components, paths, bridges, articulation points, and cycles.

Third, graph representations are particularly useful when the original problem is already discrete or can naturally be discretized, as in digital images, networks, meshes, and finite covers.

Fourth, graphs provide an entry point to richer combinatorial-topological structures such as simplicial complexes.

Finally, graph theory creates a useful connection between pure mathematics and computational applications.

14. Limitations

Graph-theoretic representations also have limitations. A general topological space contains information that cannot necessarily be represented completely by an ordinary graph. Pairwise adjacency alone may fail to preserve higher-order intersections, continuity properties, separation axioms, compactness, or higher-dimensional topological features.

Moreover, a connected graph constructed from a topological space does not automatically prove that the original space is connected unless the construction satisfies appropriate assumptions.

For problems involving higher-dimensional holes or complex intersection patterns, simplicial complexes, homology, homotopy, or other topological tools may be required.

Therefore, graph theory should be regarded as a powerful discrete tool for selected topological questions rather than a universal replacement for topology.

15. Conclusion

Graph theory and topology share a deep interest in connectivity and structural relationships. Graph theory provides a discrete mathematical language based on vertices, edges, paths, components, cycles, bridges, and trees, while topology provides a broader framework for studying connectedness, path-connectedness, continuity, and spatial structure.

The study shows that graph theory can be effectively applied to topology when a mathematically appropriate correspondence is established. Intersection graphs can describe overlap among members of covers; the nerve construction extends this approach to higher-order intersections; geometric realizations turn graphs into topological spaces; and adjacency graphs provide useful models for digital objects and computational data.

The strongest contribution of graph theory to this area lies in its ability to convert suitable connectivity problems into discrete structures that can be visualized and computationally analyzed. Applications in digital topology, network science, image analysis, and topological data analysis further demonstrate the practical importance of this relationship.

At the same time, graph connectivity should not be treated as automatically equivalent to topological connectedness. The validity of any conclusion depends on the construction connecting the graph with the topological space. With this qualification, graph-theoretic techniques provide an effective bridge between discrete mathematics, general topology, and modern computational applications.

References

1. Diestel R. Graph theory. 5th ed. Springer; 2017.
2. Edelsbrunner H, Harer J. Computational topology: an introduction. American Mathematical Society; 2010.
3. Ghrist R. Elementary applied topology. Createspace; 2014.
4. Hatcher A. Algebraic topology. Cambridge University Press; 2002.
5. Kuratowski K. Topology. Vol. 1. Academic Press; 1966.
6. Munkres JR. Topology. 2nd ed. Prentice Hall; 2000.
7. Rosen KH. Discrete mathematics and its applications. 8th ed. McGraw-Hill Education; 2019.
8. West DB. Introduction to graph theory. 2nd ed. Prentice Hall; 2001.
9. Armstrong MA. Basic topology. Springer-Verlag; 1983.
10. Berge C. Graphs and hypergraphs. North-Holland Publishing Company; 1973.
11. Bollobás B. Modern graph theory. Springer; 1998.
12. Engelking R. General topology. Rev and completed ed. Heldermann Verlag; 1989.
13. Harary F. Graph theory. Addison-Wesley; 1969.
14. May JP. A concise course in algebraic topology. University of Chicago Press; 1999.
15. Nadler SB Jr. Continuum theory: an introduction. Marcel Dekker; 1992.
16. Spanier EH. Algebraic topology. McGraw-Hill; 1966.
17. Willard S. General topology. Addison-Wesley; 1970.
18. Zomorodian A. Topology for computing. Cambridge University Press; 2005.