



Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research

Explaining U.S. Electric Vehicle Purchase Decisions Beyond Government Incentives

David Baglee^{1*}, Mike Mills²

¹ Institute for Automotive and Manufacturing Advanced Practice (AMAP), University of Sunderland, The Industry Centre, Colima Avenue, Sunderland, SR5 3XB, UK

² University of California, Irvine, 92697, USA

* Corresponding Author: **David Baglee**

Article Info

E-ISSN: 3050-9726

P-ISSN: 3050-9718

Impact Factor (RSIF): 8.10

Volume: 07

Issue: 01

Received: 21-11-2025

Accepted: 24-12-2025

Published: 26-01-2026

Page No: 101-104

Abstract

The transition from internal combustion engine vehicles to electric vehicles (EVs) represents a fundamental shift in the U.S. automotive landscape. While government incentives have traditionally been viewed as the primary catalyst for EV adoption, growing evidence suggests that consumer decision-making is shaped by a broader set of enduring factors. This study examines how charging infrastructure availability, artificial intelligence-enabled safety technologies, personalized marketing strategies, and evolving consumer expectations collectively influence EV purchase decisions in the United States. Drawing on recent empirical and conceptual research, the analysis highlights how range anxiety, grid reliability, ultra-fast charging, AI-driven safety and service features, and trust-based personalization increasingly shape consumer confidence and intent. The findings indicate that as the EV market matures, non-financial determinants may exert a more sustained influence on adoption than temporary incentive programs. The study offers strategic implications for manufacturers, policymakers, infrastructure providers, and marketers seeking to accelerate long-term EV adoption through ecosystem development rather than price-based interventions alone.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54660/.JFMR.2026.7.1.101-104>

Keywords: Electric Vehicles, Consumer Behavior, Charging Infrastructure, Artificial Intelligence, Technology Adoption, Sustainable Transportation

1. Introduction

The transition from internal combustion engine vehicles to electric vehicles represents one of the most significant transformations in automotive history. While government incentives have historically been positioned as the primary catalyst for EV adoption in the United States, emerging evidence suggests a more complex decision-making landscape. Contemporary consumers evaluate EVs through multiple lenses including technological capability, infrastructure accessibility, safety features, and alignment with personal values and lifestyle requirements (Shah, Tarannum, Mahmood, & Kabir, 2026)^[1].

Understanding the factors beyond government incentives that influence consumer EV purchasing decisions has become increasingly critical as the market matures and federal incentive structures evolve. This journal explores how infrastructure development, artificial intelligence integration, personalized marketing approaches, and consumer expectations collectively shape the American EV landscape in ways that may prove more enduring than temporary financial incentives.

2. The Infrastructure Imperative

Perhaps no factor has emerged as more critical to EV adoption than charging infrastructure availability and reliability. Shah, Bhowmik, and Kabir (2026) quantified the substantial impact of charging station infrastructure on U.S. EV adoption and market stability, demonstrating that infrastructure gaps create significant psychological barriers to purchase even when financial incentives are generous. Their research reveals that consumers perceive charging availability as a fundamental prerequisite rather than a supplementary consideration.

The concept of "range anxiety" – the fear of depleting battery charge without access to charging facilities – continues to represent a primary obstacle to EV adoption among American consumers. This anxiety persists despite improvements in vehicle range capacity, suggesting that psychological barriers rooted in infrastructure concerns operate independently of vehicle technological advancement. The geographical distribution of charging infrastructure creates additional complexity, with urban areas experiencing greater deployment density than rural regions, thereby creating adoption disparities across demographic segments. Shah, Mahmood, and Kabir (2025) [2] further examined how ultra-fast charging infrastructure specifically influences U.S. global competitiveness in electric mobility. Their findings indicate that ultra-fast charging capabilities dramatically reduce one of the primary perceived disadvantages of EVs relative to conventional vehicles – refueling time. When charging times approach the duration of traditional gasoline refueling, consumer hesitation diminishes substantially. This suggests that infrastructure quality, not merely quantity, represents a crucial determinant of consumer confidence and purchase intent.

The integration of EV charging systems with existing power grids introduces additional considerations that influence consumer perceptions. Shah, Kabir, Razib, and Khan (2024) [10] analyzed grid-integrated EV charging systems and their impacts on U.S. power grid stability and resilience. Their research demonstrates that consumers increasingly consider grid reliability and environmental impact when evaluating EV purchases, reflecting a sophisticated understanding of the broader ecosystem supporting electric mobility. Concerns about grid capacity during peak charging periods or extreme weather events can diminish purchase intent among environmentally conscious consumers who recognize that grid instability might necessitate fossil fuel backup generation.

3. Artificial Intelligence and Safety Technologies

The integration of artificial intelligence into vehicle safety systems represents another significant non-incentive factor influencing consumer EV decisions. Shah, Razib, and Kabir (2026) [8] quantified the impact of AI-enabled safety technologies on accident prevention and public risk mitigation, demonstrating substantial improvements in collision avoidance and driver assistance capabilities. These technological advancements appeal particularly to safety-conscious consumers and families, creating value propositions that extend beyond environmental considerations or cost savings.

Modern EVs frequently incorporate advanced AI systems that provide features including adaptive cruise control, automatic emergency braking, lane-keeping assistance, and predictive collision avoidance. These technologies leverage machine learning algorithms to continuously improve performance based on accumulated driving data. The safety advantages offered by these systems can justify premium pricing and influence consumer choice independent of government incentive structures.

Furthermore, the application of machine learning extends beyond safety systems into broader vehicle intelligence. Shah, Razib, and Kabir (2023) [9] explored machine learning-driven clinical decision support systems in healthcare

contexts, demonstrating principles applicable to automotive predictive maintenance and performance optimization. Similar predictive algorithms in EVs can anticipate component failures, optimize battery management, and personalize driving experiences, creating tangible value that consumers recognize and appreciate.

The transparency and trustworthiness of AI systems also influence consumer confidence. Shah, Razib, Kabir, and Tarannum (2023) [11] examined blockchain applications in pharmaceutical supply chain transparency, highlighting principles relevant to automotive software integrity and security. As vehicles become increasingly software-defined, consumer trust in the security and reliability of AI systems becomes integral to purchase decisions, particularly given cybersecurity concerns associated with connected vehicles.

4. Personalized Marketing and Consumer Engagement

The role of personalized marketing in shaping EV purchase decisions represents an underexplored dimension of consumer behavior in this sector. Davenport, Guha, Grewal, and Bressgott (2020) [4] analyzed how artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing, identifying personalization as a critical capability that influences consumer engagement and purchase intent across industries. Applied to the EV market, AI-driven personalization enables manufacturers and dealers to tailor messaging, demonstrate relevant features, and address individual consumer concerns with unprecedented precision.

However, personalization strategies must navigate what Aguirre, Mahr, Grewal, de Ruyter, and Wetzels (2015) [3] identified as the "personalization paradox" – the tension between consumers' desire for relevant, customized experiences and their concerns about privacy and data usage. EV marketers face the challenge of leveraging consumer data to provide meaningful personalization while maintaining transparency and respecting privacy boundaries. Successful navigation of this paradox can build trust and facilitate purchase decisions, while missteps can generate skepticism and resistance.

Khan, Shah, and Arman (2024) [7] studied AI chatbots in clinical settings and their impact on patient engagement and satisfaction, revealing insights applicable to automotive retail contexts. Intelligent conversational agents can address consumer questions about EV technology, charging logistics, and ownership costs in real-time, providing personalized guidance that facilitates informed decision-making. These digital touchpoints complement traditional dealership experiences and extend engagement opportunities beyond business hours and geographical constraints.

The effectiveness of personalized marketing in the EV sector depends substantially on trust mechanisms. Gefen, Karahanna, and Straub (2003) [5] examined trust and the Technology Acceptance Model in online shopping contexts, establishing that consumer trust significantly influences technology adoption and purchasing behavior. In the EV market, trust operates at multiple levels including confidence in manufacturer claims about vehicle performance, belief in the reliability of charging infrastructure, and faith in the longevity and resale value of battery technology. Personalized marketing that authentically addresses these trust dimensions can facilitate adoption more effectively than generic promotional campaigns.

5. Evolving Consumer Expectations

Contemporary research reveals that American consumer expectations regarding EVs have evolved substantially beyond simple cost-benefit calculations involving purchase price and fuel savings. Shah, Tarannum, Mahmood, and Kabir (2026)^[1] conducted a comprehensive analysis of shifting preferences and purchase intentions in the U.S. EV market, identifying multiple dimensions of consumer expectations that extend far beyond government incentives. Modern consumers increasingly evaluate EVs based on performance characteristics that match or exceed conventional vehicles, including acceleration, handling, interior space, and towing capacity. The perception of EVs as compromised alternatives to gasoline vehicles has diminished as manufacturers have introduced models that deliver superior performance in many categories. This shift reflects technological maturation and has created consumer segments motivated primarily by performance rather than environmental or economic considerations.

Environmental consciousness remains an important motivator for many consumers, but expectations have become more sophisticated. Consumers now consider lifecycle environmental impacts including battery production, electricity generation sources, and end-of-life recycling. This holistic environmental assessment can either reinforce or undermine purchase intent depending on regional electricity grid composition and manufacturer sustainability practices.

Additionally, consumers increasingly expect seamless integration between EVs and broader digital ecosystems including smartphones, home energy management systems, and renewable energy installations. The ability to monitor and control vehicle charging remotely, optimize charging times based on electricity rates, and integrate solar panel generation represents valued functionality that enhances the ownership experience independent of purchase incentives.

Huang and Rust (2021)^[6] explored artificial intelligence in service contexts, highlighting how AI-enhanced customer service influences satisfaction and loyalty. Applied to the EV ownership experience, AI systems can provide predictive maintenance alerts, optimize charging schedules, personalize climate control settings, and facilitate seamless service appointments. These service enhancements contribute to ownership satisfaction and influence both initial purchase decisions and brand loyalty.

6. The Interplay of Multiple Factors

While this analysis has examined individual factors separately, consumer EV purchase decisions emerge from complex interactions among infrastructure availability, technological capabilities, personalized engagement, and evolving expectations. These factors do not operate independently but rather create reinforcing or conflicting influences that shape ultimate purchase behavior.

For instance, excellent charging infrastructure availability may reduce range anxiety, but if consumers lack trust in grid stability or harbor concerns about AI safety systems, adoption may still lag. Conversely, exceptional vehicle performance and personalized marketing may generate initial interest, but inadequate charging infrastructure can prevent conversion from consideration to purchase.

The relative importance of these factors varies across consumer segments defined by geography, demographics, and psychographics. Urban consumers with home charging

access may prioritize vehicle performance and AI features, while rural consumers may weight infrastructure availability more heavily. Environmentally motivated consumers may emphasize lifecycle sustainability, while technology enthusiasts may focus on AI integration and digital connectivity.

7. Implications for Stakeholders

Understanding the multifaceted nature of EV purchase decisions carries important implications for multiple stakeholders including manufacturers, policymakers, infrastructure providers, and marketers.

Manufacturers must recognize that vehicle quality, performance, and AI integration represent competitive differentiators that can drive adoption independent of incentive structures. Investment in safety technologies, predictive maintenance capabilities, and seamless digital integration may yield returns comparable to or exceeding price reductions enabled by subsidies.

Policymakers should consider that infrastructure investment may deliver more sustainable long-term adoption than temporary purchase incentives. While incentives can accelerate initial market development, infrastructure creates enduring enablement that benefits all consumers regardless of when they purchase. Policies supporting charging infrastructure deployment, particularly ultra-fast charging and grid integration, may prove more impactful than extended tax credits.

Infrastructure providers face opportunities to differentiate through charging speed, reliability, payment convenience, and strategic location selection. Understanding that infrastructure gaps represent primary barriers to adoption should motivate aggressive deployment, particularly in underserved regions and along major transportation corridors.

Marketers must develop sophisticated personalization strategies that respect privacy while addressing individual consumer concerns about range, charging, safety, and integration. Generic messaging emphasizing environmental benefits or cost savings may prove less effective than targeted communication addressing specific barriers and highlighting relevant features for individual consumer segments.

8. Conclusion

This examination of factors beyond government incentives reveals a complex landscape of influences shaping American consumer EV adoption. While financial incentives have historically played important catalytic roles in market development, their influence appears increasingly complemented or even superseded by infrastructure availability, AI-enabled safety features, personalized marketing approaches, and evolving consumer expectations regarding performance and integration.

The maturation of the EV market has created increasingly sophisticated consumers who evaluate vehicles holistically rather than primarily through cost considerations. These consumers expect charging infrastructure that enables long-distance travel without anxiety, safety technologies that exceed conventional vehicle capabilities, personalized engagement that addresses individual circumstances and concerns, and seamless integration with digital lifestyles.

As the market continues evolving, success will likely favor stakeholders who recognize and address these multifaceted consumer requirements rather than those who rely primarily

on price competition enabled by subsidies. The transition to electric mobility represents not merely a change in propulsion technology but a fundamental transformation in how consumers interact with vehicles, energy systems, and transportation infrastructure. Understanding and facilitating this broader transformation may ultimately prove more important to widespread EV adoption than any individual incentive program.

9. References

1. Shah A, Tarannum T, Al Mahmood A, Kabir S. Customer expectations on electric vehicles in the USA market: a comprehensive analysis of shifting preferences and purchase intentions. *J Bus Manag Stud.* 2026;8(1):20-7. doi:10.32996/jbms.2026.8.1.2
2. Shah A, Al Mahmood A, Kabir S. Accelerating the transition: ultra-fast charging infrastructure and U.S. global competitiveness in electric mobility. *J Bus Manag Stud.* 2025;7(10):51-61. doi:10.32996/jbms.2025.7.10.6
3. Aguirre E, Mahr D, Grewal D, de Ruyter K, Wetzels M. Unraveling the personalization paradox. *J Retail.* 2015;91(1):34-49.
4. Davenport TH, Guha A, Grewal D, Bressgott T. How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *J Acad Mark Sci.* 2020;48(1):24-42.
5. Gefen D, Karahanna E, Straub DW. Trust and TAM in online shopping. *MIS Q.* 2003;27(1):51-90.
6. Huang MH, Rust RT. Artificial intelligence in service. *J Serv Res.* 2021;24(1):3-13.
7. Khan SA, Shah A, Arman M. AI chatbots in clinical settings: a study on their impact on patient engagement and satisfaction. *J Manag World.* 2024;2024(3):207-13. doi:10.53935/jomw.v2024i4.1201
8. Shah A, Razib MNH, Kabir S. Quantifying the impact of AI-enabled safety technologies on accident prevention and public risk mitigation. *Int J Artif Intell Eng Transform.* 2026;7(1):24-31. doi:10.54660/IJAIET.2026.7.1.24-31
9. Shah A, Razib MNH, Kabir S. Machine learning-driven clinical decision support systems for improving patient outcomes in US healthcare. *Int J Med Allied Body Health Res.* 2023;4(4):75-9. doi:10.54660/IJMBHR.2024.4.4.75-79
10. Shah A, Kabir S, Razib MNH, Khan SA. Grid-integrated EV charging systems: impacts on U.S. power grid stability and resilience. *Int J Artif Intell Eng Transform.* 2024;5(1):40-5. doi:10.54660/IJAIET.2024.5.1.40-45
11. Shah A, Razib MNH, Kabir S, Tarannum T. Blockchain applications in pharmaceutical supply chain transparency and drug traceability: a comprehensive analysis. *Int J Med Allied Body Health Res.* 2023;4(4):80-3. doi:10.54660/IJMBHR.2024.4.4.80-83
12. Shah A, Bhowmik J, Kabir S. Bridging the reality gap: quantifying the impact of charging station infrastructure on U.S. EV adoption and market stability. *J Manag World.* 2026;2026(1):1-6. doi:10.53935/jomw.v2024i4.1249

How to Cite This Article

Baglee D, Mills M. Beyond government incentives: how U.S. consumers decide to buy electric vehicles. *J Front Multidiscip Res.* 2026;7(1):101-104. doi:10.54660/.JFMR.2026.7.1.101-104.

Creative Commons (CC) License

This is an open access journal, and articles are distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 International (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0) License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.