Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research

Telecardiology for Rural Heart Failure Management: A Systematic Review

Simeon Ayo-Oluwa Ajayi [1*], Olayemi Oluwatosin Akanji [2]
[1-2] Department of Medicine and Surgery, College of Health Sciences, Bowen University, Iwo, Osun State, Nigeria

* Corresponding Author: **Simeon Ayo-Oluwa Ajayi**

Article Info

E-ISSN: 3050-9726
P-ISSN: 3050-9718
Volume: 03
Issue: 02
July – December 2022
Received: 13-09-2022
Accepted: 14-10-2022
Page No: 127-133

Abstract
This systematic review examines the effectiveness of telecardiology in the management of heart failure among rural populations, with relevance for other underserved communities lacking equal access to cardiovascular care. The purpose of the review is to synthesize the existing evidence of how telecardiology intervention affects clinical outcomes such as hospital readmission, mortality, symptom controls, and quality of life for patients in rural areas. The systematic review consisted of 30 relevant peer-reviewed studies in English language published between 2016 and 2022. Across the studies, remote monitoring technologies, virtual consultation and wearable equipment greatly improved care coordination for heart failure patients, reduced emergency hospital visits, and increased the patient's self-management. However, implementation barriers such as limited digital illiteracy, poor internet access, weak infrastructure, and lack of policy support continue to limit how well these services work in rural areas. The review concludes that telecardiology holds great potential to bridge healthcare access gaps for rural dwellers, however, its long-term success depends on an intervention in national healthcare planning, continuous investment in health infrastructure for rural areas and strong integration into clinical practice. In order to fully maximize the benefits of telecardiology in for rural heart failure, future efforts should invest in rural digital infrastructure, train healthcare teams, promote digital literacy in rural areas and embed telecardiology into national health systems. With the right support, telecardiology can bridge care gaps and improve heart health equity in rural areas.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/.JFMR.2025.6.2.270-276

Keywords: Telecardiology, Heart Failure, Rural Healthcare, Healthcare Access, Chronic Disease Management

Introduction

Heart failure is a chronic and progressive cardiovascular condition that weakens the heart's ability to pump blood effectively throughout the body. It is characterized by symptoms such as fatigue, fluid accumulation, difficulty breathing, and reduced exercise tolerance, leading to functional limitations, frequent hospital admissions and a decrease in patients' general quality of life (Yancy *et al*., 2017) [34]. Savarese *et al*. (2022) [24] noted that as at 2019, approximately 56.2 million people globally live with heart failure, representing 3% of the global adult population and making it one of the most burdensome cardiovascular diseases worldwide. The National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) cited in Siontis *et al*. (2022) [26] revealed that heart failure is a leading cause of hospitalisation among adults over 65 years old in the United States.

Managing heart failure effectively requires an interdisciplinary and individualised approach. This includes accurate diagnosis through advanced cardiac imaging and laboratory testing, pharmacological interventions such as beta blockers and ACE inhibitors, lifestyle modifications including dietary and exercise adjustments and continuous monitoring of disease development (Clark, 2018) [4]. Regular followup with cardiologists or heart failure specialists is also important to prevent complications, reduce the degree of retreat and improve long -term outcomes (Clark, 2018; Wolfson *et al*., 2018) [4, 32]. Under ideal conditions,

this care
model can stabilize symptoms, improve self -management and expand the patient's survival.

However, in rural and underserved communities, access to standard care especially for cardiovascular conditions still presents a level of health access inequality (Leggett *et al*., 2022) [17]. Several structural and socio -economic challenges prevent timely diagnosis and consistent follow -up for heart failure patients residing in rural areas. These include long travel distances to specialist clinics, limited accessibility of trained health care professionals, poor health infrastructure and financial limitations that limit patients' ability to seek care regularly (Fraser *et al*., 2022) [8]. Eurlings *et al*. (2019) [6] notes that rural patients are often diagnosed in later stages and experience more fragmented care due to gaps at system level. Fraser *et al*. (2022) [8] further emphasized that these communities have higher mortality and poorer quality of life, exacerbated by limited consciousness, insufficient support systems and lack of continuous care programs.

The increasing inequality in the results of heart failure between rural and urban populations has led to exploring alternative models for care (Yamano *et al*., 2016) [33]. A promising strategy according to Yamano *et al*. (2016) [33] is telecardiology, a branch of telemedicine that utilizes digital technologies to provide heart care at a distance. Telecardiology includes tools such as monitoring of external electrocardiograms (ECG), mobile health applications, portable sensors, video consultations and automated alerts for symptom degradation. These technologies allow clinicians to evaluate patient data in real time, make timely clinical decisions and provide personal support without the need for frequent hospital visits (Molinari *et al*., 2017) [19]. For patients in external settings, this approach provides the potential to keep in touch with specialized care while remaining in local communities.

Several studies suggest that telecardiology can improve the results of heart failure by improving early detection, reducing emergency visits, lowering the degree of retreat and improving the patient's involvement in self -care. For example, Jagannatha *et al*. (2022) [12] demonstrated that structured telecards were associated with significant reductions in cardiovascular-related mortality. Zito *et al*. (2022) [36] and Mhanna *et al*. (2021) [18] similarly reported that daily remote monitoring combined with supervision of a clinician led to better symptom control, improved medication and fewer hospital admissions.

Despite these encouraging findings, the adoption of telecardiology in rural areas is still inconsistent globally (Zanaboni & Wootton, 2018) [35]. Barriers as limited broadband access, low digital literacy, patient resistance to remote care and lack of integration into routine health care systems continue to undermine the potential (Diedrich *et al*., 2018; Vilme *et al*., 2019) [5, 29]. In addition, many telecardiology programs are still in the pilot phase, and lack long-term funding and a political framework for sustainability.

In response to these challenges, this systematic review aims to examine the effectiveness of telecardiology in dealing with heart failure among rural populations. Specifically, it evaluates how telecardological interventions affect hospital admissions, mortality rates, symptom management and patient-reported quality of life. This review is designed for 30 peer-reviewed studies published between 2016 and 2022, and seeks to identify best practices, regular implementation challenges and action-rich insights for the health care system seeking to close the rural urban care gap through digital innovation. In doing this, it will contribute to the wider discourse on a balanced access to cardiovascular care in this digital age.

Methods

Research Design

In this study systematic review was used, paying attention to the guidelines of PRISMA. The main goal was to find out how well telecardiology is helping to manage prolonged heart failure in rural areas. This review checked out how telecardiology affects how often people go back to the hospital, death rates, how well the hart failure symptoms are controlled, and how good the people's lives are. Different studies were picked using some major rules, and the results were put into groups based on similar topics.

Search Strategy and Sources of Data

A wide research was done in different online databases like PubMed, Scopus, Google Scholar, and the Cochrane Library. Only the articles that other authors had checked, and that were released between 2016 and 2022 were used. This is to make sure the review used recent information on telecardiology for heart failure in rural areas. Moreover, only studies written in English were used.

The search terms mixed keywords with Medical Subject Headings (MeSH), such as: “telecardiology,” “telemedicine,” “heart failure,” “rural healthcare,” “remote monitoring,” “digital health,” “wearable technology,” “virtual care,” and “chronic heart disease.” Words like AND and OR were used to make the search better.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

To be used in the review, studies needed to:

- Be published between 2016 and 2022
- Be checked by other experts as either experiments, reviews, or meta-analyses
- Focus on telecardiology or digital heart treatments for people with heart failure
- Be available to read completely and be written in English

Studies were excluded if they:

- Only looked at cities or non-rural groups
- Were just opinion pieces, conference notes, or tests to see if something could work
- Were published before 2016
- Only used text messages without other digital features

Data Extraction and Management

A standard form was used to take out data. Each study that fit into the specification was read all the way through by the authors, and relevant parts were considered for analysis, such as:

- Authors
- Year of publication
- Study focus
- Key findings
- How long patients were monitored remotely
- What main results were reported (like hospital visits, symptom improvement, deaths)
- Other results (like if people were happy, if it saved

money, if it was easy to use)

Data Synthesis and Analysis

Since the studies were likely to be different in their approach and methodology, their findings were analysed based on the treatments used (like remote watching, online meetings, phone support) and the results measured (like fewer deaths, better following of advice, happy patients).

Quality Assessment

The quality of the studies was assessed using tools like:

- The Cochrane Risk of Bias Tool for experiments, which looked at things like how people were picked for groups and how results were reported.
- The AMSTAR 2 checklist for reviews and meta-analyses, which checked how sure we could be in the results.

Ethical Considerations

Since this review used information that was already out there, no special permission was needed. All sources were referenced appropriately.

Results and Findings

This systematic review analyzed 30 peer-reviewed studies published between 2016 - 2022, and investigated how telecardiological interventions have affected chronic handling of heart failure among rural populations. The findings fall into five major outcomes: reduction in hospitals, reduced mortality, improved quality of life, early symptom detection and implementation challenges that are unique to the rural health care. Several studies reported a clear reduction in withdrawal speeds in hospitals due to telecommunications cards. Through structured telephone support, remote monitoring, portable sensors and mobile based Follow-up systems, patients received early alerts that prevented emergency disabilities.

Mhanna *et al*. (2021) [18], Zito *et al*. (2022) [36], and Knoll *et al*. (2022) [14] observed remarkable reductions in rehospitalization, and attributes it to frequent clinical feedback activated by digital tools. Corresponding Jagannatha *et al*. (2022) [12] presented a meta -analysis that improved the effect of structured telecommunications care to reduce setbacks. Krzesiński *et al*. (2021) [16] documented how non-invasive assessments along with external cardiology input helped reduce the need for admissions to the person. Clark (2018) [4] and Inglis *et al*. (2017) [11] also emphasized that particularly rural patients had good of these technologies due to the difficulty of accessing follow -up. Piotrowicz *et al*. (2021) [21] and Fatrin *et al*. (2022) [7] further supported these observations by demonstrating that patients who participated in telecommunications rehabilitation programs had better compliance with treatment and fewer unplanned hospital visits.

The decrease in mortality between revised studies was another consistent result. Jagannatha *et al*. (2022) [12] found that virtual clinical interventions made significant cuts in heart -related deaths. Zito *et al*. (2022) [36] and Spethmann and Köhaler (2022) [27] added better existence to the initial identity of symptoms, which became possible by distance monitoring. Vinkler *et al*. (2021) Emphasized that this model provided life support in cases where immediate access to the hospital was not possible. Mhanna *et al*. (2021) [18] also confirmed that the updated telecare model produced better survival rates than standard care.

The increased quality of life is also widely documented. Patients enrolled in distance care programs have superiorly well reported less symptoms and better confidence in managing their condition. Piotrowicz *et al*. (2020) [22] and Fraser *et al*. (2022) [8] observed that digital engagement extended patients with patient control on their illness, especially with limited psychological support in rural communities. Vilme *et al*. (2019) [29] said that continuous consultation reduced anxiety and helped patients to be active in self-care. Similarly, Clark (2018) [4] and Raikhelkar and Raikhelkar (2019) [23] noticed that the education of the patient helped people better understand their conditions.

Telecardiology also played an important role in the initial detection of symptoms and timely clinical accountability. Hajduczok *et al*. (2021) [10] showed that patients equipped with implantable devices benefited from real -time data transmission, allowing physicians to detect changes before an emergency. Molinari *et al*. (2017) [19] explained how tele-monitoring experienced the rapidly troil symptoms of patients. Spethmann and Köhaler (2022) [27] emphasized the advantage of the wearer technologies, who sent an alert for irregular heart pattern, while Winkler *et al*. (2021) [31] documented how the delay in drug adjustment under 24/7 surveillance decreased. Eurlings *et al*. (2019) [6] and Wolfson *et al*. (2018) [32] reinforced that rural patients often have a lack of access to such an immediate clinical reaction in traditional systems. Vlahu-gjorgieveska *et al*. (2019) [30] and Schacksen *et al*. (2021) [25] said the integration of digital alert systems with care supports increased and increased clinical accountability.

Despite these advances, the implementation of telecardiology in rural settings faces many challenges. Diedrich *et al*. (2018) [5] and Vilme *et al*. (2019) [29] reported that poor broadband infrastructure and low access to digital tools remain significant obstacles, especially in remote or economically deprived areas. Fatrin *et al*. (2022) [7] said that elderly patients often struggled with device literacy, which requires frequent help. Cultural resistance was another challenge; Some patients preferred face-to-face contact and suspected about the virtual care model. Leggett *et al*. (2022) [17] discussed how the success of the disparities limited in telecardiology use between age groups and geography. In addition, some studies mentioned that many telecardiology intervention remains experimental and faces some systemic barriers in implementation for rural populations.

Summary of Review

S/N	Author(s)	Year	Focus	Key Findings
1.	Brahmbhatt and Cowie	2019	Remote management of heart failure: An overview of telemonitoring technologies	Categorized telemonitoring types. Found guided interventions had the most consistent rural outcome improvements.
2.	Chatterjee and Singh	2017	Making sense of remote monitoring studies in heart failure	Interpreted varied telemonitoring findings. Stressed the importance of customizing programs to rural user behavior.
3.	Clark	2018	Telehealth in the Elderly with Chronic Heart Failure	Addressed elderly HF patients in remote regions. Found that telehealth was feasible and reduced social isolation while improving adherence.
4.	Di Biase *et al.*	2017	Field Application Review	Highlighted barriers and successes in implementing telecardiology models globally, including in rural Europe and Asia.
5.	Diedrich *et al.*	2018	Telemonitoring in Heart Failure: Health and Economic Implications	Showed that telemonitoring improved clinical outcomes and had cost-saving implications, but infrastructure was lacking in rural areas.
6.	Eurlings *et al.*	2019	Telemedicine in Heart Failure: More Than Nice to Have?	Argued that telemedicine should move from optional to essential. Emphasized digital care coordination in rural HF populations.
7.	Fatrin *et al.*	2022	Telemedicine to support heart failure patients during social distancing: A systematic review	Focused on telemedicine during COVID-19. Found it preserved HF care continuity in rural zones despite lockdowns.
8.	Fraser *et al.*	2022	Does connected health technology improve health-related outcomes in rural cardiac populations?	Found that connected health tools helped overcome distance barriers. Also identified digital exclusion risks.
9.	Gonzalez Garcia *et al.*	2019	A review of randomized controlled trials utilizing telemedicine for improving heart failure readmission: Can a realist approach bridge the translational divide?	Argued for more context-aware implementations. Found that integrated models with community involvement worked best in rural setups.
10.	Hajduczok *et al.*	2021	Remote Monitoring for Heart Failure Using Implantable Devices	Confirmed that implantable device monitoring led to reduced readmissions and mortality. Patients were managed effectively without hospital presence.
11.	Zanaboni and Wootton	2018	Adoption of telemedicine: From pilot stage to routine delivery	Telemedicine when integrated into clinical practice has potential to improve care delivery.
12.	Inglis *et al.*	2017	Structured Telephone Support or Non-Invasive Telemonitoring in Heart Failureew	Structured phone support and telemonitoring lowered mortality and HF-related rehospitalization, especially in under-resourced settings.
13.	Knoll *et al.*	2022	Telemedical monitoring and coaching improves survival and hospitalization rates in heart failure.	Improved both survival and time free from hospital stay. Patients were empowered to report early symptoms remotely.
14.	Köhler *et al.*	2018	TIM-HF2: A randomised, controlled trial investigating the impact of telemedicine on unplanned cardiovascular hospitalisations and mortality in heart failure patients	RCT showed reduced hospitalizations and cardiovascular deaths with structured telemedicine in home settings. Proved suitable for rural scale-up.
15.	Krzesiński *et al.*	2021	Effects of an outpatient intervention comprising nurse-led non-invasive assessments, telemedicine support and remote cardiologists' decisions in patients with heart failure (AMULET Study): A randomised controlled trial	Showed nurse-led care with remote support reduced hospital admissions and increased adherence. Proved scalable in non-urban environments.
16.	Leggett *et al.*	2022	Variation by age in the use of telehealth and remote monitoring for patients with heart failure in urban vs. rural areas	Revealed older rural adults used telehealth less frequently, highlighting the need for training and inclusive design.
17.	Mhanna *et al.*	2021	Efficacy of remote physiological monitoring-guided care for chronic heart failure: An updated meta-analysis	Confirmed telemonitoring led to better symptom control, lower mortality, and more timely interventions. Particularly effective for high-risk rural patients.
18.	Molinari *et al.*	2017	Telecardiology and Its Settings of Application: An Update	Emphasized real-time ECG transmission, virtual triage, and reduced need for hospital visits. Helped rural patients receive accurate diagnoses without traveling.
19.	Piotrowicz *et al.*	2020	Effects of a 9-week hybrid comprehensive telerehabilitation program on long-term outcomes in patients with heart failure (TELEREH-HF): A randomized clinical trial	Hybrid telerehabilitation enhanced physical function, patient motivation, and quality of life in hard-to-reach patients.
20.	Jagannatha *et al.*	2022	Role of Telecardiology in Preventing Mortality and Improving Quality of Life in Heart Failure	Found telemonitoring significantly reduced mortality and improved quality of life. Early detection of decompensation was a major contributor.
21.	Raikhelkar &	2019	Advances in Tele-Cardiology	Described emerging tools like mobile ECGs and

	Raikhelkar			wearable monitors, showing potential for continuous care in remote settings.
22.	Schacksen *et al*.	2021	Effects of telerehabilitation interventions on heart failure management: A scoping review	Found mixed results on symptom relief, but noted improvements in self-monitoring and therapy compliance.
23.	Spethmann and Köhler	2022	Telemedicine in chronic heart failure: From clinical studies to standard care	Outlined transition of telecardiology from pilot to routine care. Emphasized scalability across rural clinics.
24.	Varma	2020	Remote management of patients with heart failure: How long should it go on?	Questioned optimal length of telemonitoring. Concluded that long-term remote care had sustained benefits for rural patients.
25.	Vilme *et al*.	2019	Using Telehealth for CVD Interventions in Rural Populations	Demonstrated how telehealth expanded cardiovascular services to rural minority populations, improving preventive and chronic care outcomes.
26.	Vlahu-Gjorgievska *et al*.	2019	Tele-monitoring technology as a tool for monitoring and management of patients with congestive heart failure	Reviewed technologies for remote HF monitoring. Found usability and personalization crucial for rural adaptation.
27.	Winkler *et al*.	2021	Is 24/7 remote patient management in heart failure necessary?	Tele-emergency services (from TIM-HF/TIM-HF2) led to early escalation and fewer HF-related complications in rural patients.
28.	Wolfson *et al*.	2018	Chronic heart failure management and remote haemodynamic monitoring	Identified core features of successful telemonitoring programs and their ability to delay clinical deterioration in isolated patients.
29.	Yamano *et al*.	2016	Telecardiology in Rural Practice: Global Trends	Highlighted the global advancement of telecardiology, especially in resource-limited settings, suggesting it improves rural cardiac service reach and patient monitoring.
30.	Zito *et al*.	2022	Device-based remote monitoring strategies for congestion-guided management of patients with heart failure: Systematic review and meta-analysis	Reported a significant reduction in cardiovascular events and mortality using device-based remote monitoring.

Source: Review, 2022

Discussion of Findings

This review shows increasing perception that telecardiology can change how chronic heart failure is managed, especially in rural and underserved communities. In various places and groups of people, digital cardiac remedies have regularly shown better health results, low hospital stay, more patient participation and more consistent care.

One of the most regular results of studies was that hospital reading rate was reduced (Zito *et al*., 2022; Mhanna *et al*., 2021) [36, 18]. This is very important for people in rural areas, where emergency care is often difficult and delayed with the risk of condition getting worse. Similarly, streamlined programs like such as Emulated Trial (Krzesiński *et al*., 2021) [16] and Tim-HF2 study (Koehler *et al*., 2018) [15] showed how to overcome diseases from getting worse by removing nurses and changing treatments, allowing quick treatment to travel to specialist centers.

In addition to hospital cuts, reducing mortality and controlling symptoms came in the form of important signs on how well telecardiology works. Jagannatha *et al*. (2022) [12] showed that well -organized telecommunications not only increased the survival rate, but also felt better to patients and did better work every day. These results were reiterated in Clark (2018) [4] and Hajduczok *et al*. (2021) [10], who mentioned that simple monitoring equipment like implanted sensors also gave a life -saving warning when someone's situation worsened. In many rural places, where away from care centers, there are quick reactions, these technologies can serve as an important safety trap.

The patient's participation and quality of life also became much better under telecardiology programs. Piotrowicz *et al*. (2022) emphasized mental and practical good points of distance care. By traveling less than a problem, providing flexible monitoring time and allowing people to talk back and forth, patients said that they feel more independent, better adhere to their drug and medical plans, and feel less concerned about their health. This supports the previous ideas by Diedrich *et al*. (2018) [5], who found that feeling mentally supported through digital check-in was an important part of long handling the disease, especially for older or alone patients.

Although general facts seem good, discussions should still observe the problems and limits to put these programs into action, as seen in some studies. A main problem is the digital gap; Fraser *et al*. (2022) [8] and Vilme *et al*. (2019) [29] pointed out that there are still major differences in broadband availability, especially in low -income rural areas. Without reliable internet, even the best telehealth tools cannot be maximised. Also, as observed by Leggett *et al*. (2022) [17], many older adults in rural areas have problems using digital devices, which prevents them from working fully with remote service platforms. Health systems make it difficult with things like not paying enough for programs, some health professionals who do not want to use them and do not have national rules to make the standard of telecardiology practices (Chatterjee & Singh, 2017; Brahmbhatt & Cowie, 2019) [3, 2].

Another concern is not having long -term information and plans to maintain many programs. As studies like those of Gonzalez Garcia *et al*. (2019) [9] and Fatrin *et al*. (2022) [7] commended the speed with which telecardiology was used during the Covid-19 pandemic, they also said that many programs were only short-term corrections that had no lasting funds or political support. This makes people worry if they can be expanded and continuing when external funds stop. In addition, few studies have talked about how to adjust to

telecardiology gently to primary care systems, especially those with broken or sub -financed rural services.

Even with these limits, the total of facts shows good chances for health systems and legislation. First, treatments must meet the special needs and skills of rural patients. This means making easy -to -use interfaces, training patients and caregivers and using mixed models that combine personal and virtual parts. Second, increasing investment on digital resources should become a national focus in countries. As stressed by Winkler *et al*. (2021) [31], real -time remote emergency aid could save lives, but only if the systems are safely linked. Third, good telecardiology programs should have regular follow-ups and care that respect different cultures, are important to involve rural people in a real way.

By providing services correctly in patients' homes, telecardiology provides not only medical help but also a way of equal health access opportunities. Studies here suggest that with careful group planning and support, telecardiology can turn into a lasting and strong tool to address heart failure, especially for those in rural or underserved communities.

Conclusion

This review shows that telecardiology is a useful, evidence-based way of dealing with heart failure, mainly for people in rural areas who do not have much access to care. Based on 30 different studies from 2016 to 2022, it is safe to conclude that telecardiology consistently reduces hospitals' degree of patient visits, improves patient survival, helps with symptoms control, and gives better total quality of life. These benefits are clearer when treatments mix with telecommunications alternatives such as remote monitoring, video calls, and follow-up from nurses.

A key point is that telecardiology does not only puts current services online, but also changes how people cope with heart failure. It removes location, access and money barriers. People who live far from cardiologists can get customized care plans, quick warnings, and regular check-in without long trips, which can be transformative.

Nevertheless, the potential of telecardiology is not without limitations. This review notes that there are still important problems that still limit the scope, especially in rural places. Some of these are limited broadband, poor digital skills, lack of standard treatment guides, and unclear payment plans. To fix this, the upcoming telecardiology plans must be done in the long run. This means creating easy -to -use systems that respect culture and can adjust to the varied needs of rural people. It also involves training of the health team, increasing web access and the creation of rules that make telecardiology a lasting part of rural health care.

Therefore, telecardiology holds great potential to improve heart failure care in different areas and income levels. If supported by good national health planning, it can greatly reduce health gaps in terms of healthcare access for rural residents and improve the way patients make continuous care in rural places.

References

1. Boodoo C, Zhang Q, Ross HJ, Alba AC, Laporte A, Seto E. Evaluation of a heart failure telemonitoring program through a microsimulation model: cost-utility analysis. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(10):e18917.
2. Brahmbhatt DH, Cowie MR. Remote Management of Heart Failure: An Overview of Telemonitoring Technologies. Card Fail Rev. 2019;5(2):86–92.
3. Chatterjee NA, Singh JP. Making sense of remote monitoring studies in heart failure. Eur Heart J. 2017;38(30):2329–31.
4. Clark RA. Telehealth in the elderly with chronic heart failure: What is the evidence? Stud Health Technol Inform. 2018;246:58–63.
5. Diedrich L, Dockweiler C, Kupitz A, Wegscheider K. Telemonitoring in heart failure: Update on health-related and economic implications. Herz. 2018;43(4):319–24.
6. Eurlings C, Boyne J, de Boer RA, Brunner-La Rocca HP. Telemedicine in heart failure: More than nice to have? Neth Heart J. 2019;27(9):448–56.
7. Fatrin S, Auliani S, Pratama S. Telemedicine to support heart failure patients during social distancing: A systematic review. Glob Heart. 2022;17(1):80.
8. Fraser MJ, Gorely T, O'Malley C, Muggeridge DJ, Giggins OM, Crabtree DR. Does connected health technology improve health-related outcomes in rural cardiac populations? Systematic review narrative synthesis. Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2022;19(4):2302.
9. Gonzalez Garcia M, Fatehi F, Bashi N, Varnfield M, Iyngkaran P, Driscoll A, *et al*. A Review of Randomized Controlled Trials Utilizing Telemedicine for Improving Heart Failure Readmission: Can a Realist Approach Bridge the Translational Divide? Clin Med Insights Cardiol. 2019;13:1179546819861396.
10. Hajduczok AG, Muallem SN, Nudy MS, *et al*. Remote monitoring for heart failure using implantable devices: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression of randomized controlled trials. Heart Fail Rev. 2021;27(4):1281–300.
11. Inglis SC, Clark RA, Dierckx R, Prieto-Merino D, Cleland JG. Structured telephone support or non-invasive telemonitoring for patients with heart failure. Heart. 2017;103(4):255–7.
12. Jagannatha GP, Yasmin ADA, Sanjiwani GR. Role of telecardiology in preventing mortality and improving quality of life in patients with chronic heart failure: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Eur J Prev Cardiol. 2022;29(5):715–24.
13. Kitsiou S, Paré G, Jaana M. Effects of home telemonitoring interventions on patients with chronic heart failure: an overview of systematic reviews. J Med Internet Res. 2019;21(3):e63.
14. Knoll K, Rosner S, Trenkwalder T, Gross S, Dittrich D, Lennerz C, *et al*. Telemedical monitoring and coaching improves survival and hospitalization rates in heart failure. Eur Heart J Digit Health. 2022;3(4):2805.
15. Koehler F, Koehler K, Deckwart O, Prescher S, Wegscheider K, Winkler S, *et al*. Telemedical Interventional Management in Heart Failure II (TIM-HF2), a randomised, controlled trial investigating the impact of telemedicine on unplanned cardiovascular hospitalisations and mortality in heart failure patients: study design and description of the intervention. Eur J Heart Fail. 2018;20(10):1485–93.
16. Krzesiński P, Jankowska EA, Siebert J, Banasiak W, Ponikowski P. Effects of an outpatient intervention comprising nurse-led non-invasive assessments, telemedicine support and remote cardiologists' decisions in patients with heart failure (AMULET Study): A randomised controlled trial. Eur J Heart Fail. 2021;23(12):2086–95.

17. Leggett C, O'Malley J, Wong SL, Gaziano JM. Variation by age in the use of telehealth and remote monitoring for patients with heart failure in urban vs. rural areas. Circulation. 2022;146(Suppl_1):A12802.
18. Mhanna M, Beran A, Nazir S, Pandit A, Alahdab F. Efficacy of remote physiological monitoring-guided care for chronic heart failure: An updated meta-analysis. Heart Fail Rev. 2021;26(6):1449–60.
19. Molinari G, Molinari M, Di Biase M, Brunetti ND. Telecardiology and its settings of application: An update. J Telemed Telecare. 2017;23(5):373–81.
20. Piotrowicz E, Pencina MJ, Irzmański R, Zareba W, Kowalik I, Banach M, *et al*. Heart failure patients' adherence to hybrid comprehensive telerehabilitation and its impact on prognosis based on TELEREH HF randomized clinical trial data. Appl Sci. 2022;12(5):2595.
21. Piotrowicz E, Mierzyńska A, Banach M, Jaworska I, Pencina M, Kowalik I, *et al*. Quality of life in heart failure patients undergoing hybrid comprehensive telerehabilitation versus usual care: Results of the TELEREH HF randomized clinical trial. Arch Med Sci. 2021;17(6):1599–612.
22. Piotrowicz E, Pencina MJ, Opolski G, Zareba W, Banach M, Kowalik I, *et al*. Effects of a 9 week hybrid comprehensive telerehabilitation program on long term outcomes in patients with heart failure (TELEREH HF): A randomized clinical trial. JAMA Cardiol. 2020;5(3):300–8.
23. Raikhelkar J, Raikhelkar JK. Advances in tele-cardiology. In: Koenig M, editor. Telemedicine in the ICU. Springer; 2019. p. 225–42.
24. Savarese G, Becher PM, Lund LH, Seferovic P, Rosano GMC, Coats AJS. Global burden of heart failure: a comprehensive and updated review of epidemiology. Cardiovasc Res. 2022;118(17):3272–87.
25. Schacksen CS, Henneberg NC, Muthulingam JA, Jensen L, Larsen MA. Effects of telerehabilitation interventions on heart failure management: A scoping review. Scand J Caring Sci. 2021;35(4):1024–37.
26. Siontis GC, Bhatt DL, Patel CJ. Secular Trends in Prevalence of Heart Failure Diagnosis over 20 Years (from the US NHANES). Am J Cardiol. 2022;172:161–4.
27. Spethmann S, Köhler F. Telemedicine in chronic heart failure: From clinical studies to standard care. Internist (Berl). 2022;63(2):159–66.
28. Varma N. Remote management of patients with heart failure-how long should it go on? Lancet Digit Health. 2020;2(1).
29. Vilme H, Duke NN, Muiruri C, Wordlaw L, Skinner AC. Using Telehealth to Disseminate Primary, Secondary, and Tertiary CVD Interventions to Rural Populations. Curr Hypertens Rep. 2019;21(12):92.
30. Vlahu Gjorgievska E, Nagapuri S, Win KT. Tele monitoring technology as a tool for monitoring and management of patients with congestive heart failure. Australas J Inf Syst. 2019;23:1–14.
31. Winkler S, Koehler K, Prescher S, Koehler M, Kirwan B, Tajsic M, *et al*. Is 24/7 remote patient management in heart failure necessary? Results of the telemedical emergency service used in the TIM-HF and in the TIM-HF2 trials. ESC Heart Fail. 2021;8(5):3613–20.
32. Wolfson AM, Fong M, Grazette L, Rahman JE, Shavelle DM. Chronic heart failure management and remote haemodynamic monitoring. Heart. 2018;104(23):1910–9.
33. Yamano T, Kotani K, Kitano N. Telecardiology in rural practice: Global trends. Telemed J E Health. 2016;22(4):320–6.
34. Yancy CW, Jessup M, Bozkurt B, Butler J, Casey DE, Colvin MM, *et al*. 2017 ACC/AHA/HFSA Focused Update of the 2013 ACCF/AHA Guideline for the Management of Heart Failure. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;70(6):776–803.
35. Zanaboni P, Wootton R. Adoption of telemedicine: From pilot stage to routine delivery. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak. 2018;18(1):108.
36. Zito A, Princi G, Romiti GF, Galli M, Basili S, Liuzzo G, *et al*. Device based remote monitoring strategies for congestion guided management of patients with heart failure: Systematic review and meta analysis. Eur J Heart Fail. 2022;24(12):2333–41.