Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research

Lean Six Sigma Framework for Reducing Operational Delays in Customer Support Centers for Fintech Products

Oluwasanmi Segun Adanigbo [1*], Abel Chukwuemeke Uzoka [2], Chinelo Harriet Okolo [3], Kujore Victoria Omotayo [4], Florence Ifeanyichukwu Olinmah [5]

[1] Bancore Group HQ, Copenhagen, Denmark
[2] Eko Electricity Distribution Company, Lagos state, Nigeria
[3] United Bank for Africa (UBA), Lagos state, Nigeria
[4] DebrasGrace Limited, Lagos state, Nigeria
[5] Afe Babalola University, Ekiti, Nigeria

* Corresponding Author: **Oluwasanmi Segun Adanigbo**

Article Info

E-ISSN: 3050-9726
P-ISSN: 3050-9718
Volume: 02
Issue: 02
July – December 2021
Received: 05-08-2021
Accepted: 06-09-2021
Published: 07-10-2021
Page No: 160-167

Abstract

Operational delays in fintech customer support centers significantly impact customer satisfaction, regulatory compliance, and overall business performance. This paper develops a comprehensive Lean Six Sigma framework tailored to address these delays by integrating Lean's waste reduction principles with Six Sigma's data-driven quality improvement methods. The framework is structured around the DMAIC phases, incorporating Lean tools to systematically identify, measure, and eliminate inefficiencies specific to fintech support environments characterized by complex workflows and stringent regulatory requirements. Key implementation considerations, including organizational readiness, data collection, and continuous improvement mechanisms, are examined to ensure sustainable operational excellence. The proposed framework bridges a critical gap in the literature by offering a targeted approach for fintech firms to optimize customer support processes, enhance service quality, and maintain agility in a fast-evolving industry. Practical implications for managers and practitioners include improved resource utilization, enhanced performance monitoring, and a culture of continuous improvement. Future research directions focus on empirical validation, broader fintech applications, and integration with emerging technologies to further advance operational efficiency. This study contributes both theoretical insights and actionable strategies for reducing delays and driving customer-centric excellence in fintech customer support centers.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJFMR.2021.2.2.160-167

Keywords: Lean Six Sigma, Operational Delays, Fintech Customer Support, Process Improvement, DMAIC Framework, Continuous Improvement

1. Introduction

1.1 Background

Fintech customer support centers operate at the intersection of financial technology and customer service, providing critical assistance for users navigating increasingly complex digital financial products (Chiu, 2016, Gomber *et al*., 2017). These centers handle high volumes of inquiries ranging from account management to transaction issues, fraud detection, and technical support (Hendrikse *et al*., 2020). Due to the rapid evolution of fintech solutions, customer expectations have escalated significantly, demanding faster and more accurate responses (Josyula and Expert, 2021, Somu, 2020). Operational challenges such as fluctuating call volumes, inconsistent process workflows, and the integration of legacy systems with new digital platforms

contribute to delays and inefficiencies within these centers (Scardovi, 2017, Hendrikse *et al*., 2018).

Reducing operational delays in customer support is paramount not only for maintaining high customer satisfaction but also for protecting the company's reputation and ensuring regulatory compliance. Prolonged waiting times and unresolved issues can lead to customer frustration, increased churn rates, and negative brand perception (Goodman, 2019, Anderson *et al*., 2009). In highly competitive fintech markets, service quality often serves as a key differentiator. Consequently, organizations prioritize strategies to streamline operations, improve response times, and enhance overall service quality (Egbuhuzor *et al*., 2021, Wu and Dunn, 1995).

Lean Six Sigma, a methodology known for improving process efficiency and quality through waste elimination and defect reduction, presents a promising solution for fintech customer support centers. By systematically analyzing processes and implementing data-driven improvements, Lean Six Sigma can address the root causes of delays, aligning operational performance with customer expectations and business goals (Ng *et al*., 2009, Ravichandran *et al*., 2020).

1.2 Problem Statement

Fintech customer support centers face specific operational delays that undermine service effectiveness and customer experience. These delays often arise from fragmented workflows, redundant tasks, and bottlenecks in information flow across support teams. Complex inquiry resolution frequently requires coordination between multiple departments, exacerbating response times. Additionally, the reliance on outdated manual processes and insufficient automation can increase the risk of errors and slow down case handling (González Páramo, 2017, Lee, 2017).

These operational inefficiencies directly impact customer experience by prolonging wait times, increasing the number of call transfers, and causing inconsistent service quality. Customers may encounter repetitive questioning or delayed updates, which not only frustrate them but also diminish trust in the fintech provider's capabilities. This is particularly critical in financial services, where trust and reliability are foundational. Furthermore, operational delays translate into higher costs for the company due to increased staffing needs and lost opportunities to upsell or retain customers (Parimi, 2018, Oladosu *et al*., 2021).

Overall, the persistent delays in fintech support centers hinder operational efficiency and reduce competitiveness. Without a structured approach to identify and mitigate these challenges, organizations risk ongoing customer dissatisfaction and inefficient resource utilization. Addressing these issues with a robust framework can create measurable improvements in both service delivery and operational costs.

1.3 Research Objectives

This paper aims to develop and present a comprehensive Lean Six Sigma framework specifically tailored to reducing operational delays in fintech customer support centers. The framework will integrate key Lean tools and Six Sigma methodologies to systematically identify inefficiencies, eliminate waste, and enhance process quality within the unique operational environment of fintech support. Through this approach, the research seeks to bridge the gap between general process improvement theories and the specific needs of fintech customer service operations.

The contribution of this work lies in offering a structured, data-driven methodology that fintech organizations can adopt to optimize their customer support workflows. By focusing on reducing cycle times and improving first-contact resolution rates, the framework intends to improve overall customer satisfaction and operational performance. This contribution extends the existing literature on operational excellence by applying Lean Six Sigma principles in a rapidly evolving and high-pressure fintech context, where customer support delays have critical consequences.

Furthermore, this research provides practical insights for managers and practitioners, highlighting implementation considerations that account for fintech-specific challenges such as regulatory compliance and technology integration. Ultimately, the proposed framework aims to serve as a foundation for ongoing process improvements and support the strategic goals of fintech companies striving for operational excellence.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Lean Six Sigma Principles and Applications

Lean and Six Sigma are two widely recognized methodologies aimed at improving organizational processes, each with distinct but complementary focuses. Lean primarily targets the elimination of waste, emphasizing efficiency and flow by removing non-value-adding activities (Pacheco *et al*., 2015). It is rooted in principles that seek to streamline processes, reduce cycle times, and optimize resource use. Tools such as Value Stream Mapping, 5S, and Kaizen are commonly used to identify and remove bottlenecks and redundancies (Chugani *et al*., 2017, Salah and Rahim, 2018). Six Sigma, on the other hand, is centered around reducing variation and defects in processes through a data-driven approach. Using statistical methods and the DMAIC framework (Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, Control), Six Sigma enhances process quality and consistency, aiming for near-perfection in output (Ruben *et al*., 2018, Garza-Reyes, 2015).

The integration of Lean and Six Sigma, often termed Lean Six Sigma, leverages the strengths of both methodologies to deliver comprehensive process improvements (Muraliraj *et al*., 2018). By combining Lean's waste elimination with Six Sigma's focus on defect reduction and process capability, organizations achieve greater efficiency and higher quality outcomes (Bendell, 2006, Näslund, 2008). This synergistic approach enables faster process flows without compromising accuracy or reliability, making it especially useful in complex and dynamic environments. The integrated framework encourages continuous improvement, fostering a culture of operational excellence and data-informed decision making (Gaikwad and Sunnapwar, 2020, Salah *et al*., 2010).

Lean Six Sigma has been successfully applied across various industries, including manufacturing, healthcare, and financial services, to improve process efficiency, reduce costs, and enhance customer satisfaction (Vashishth *et al*., 2019, Ahmed *et al*., 2013). Its versatility and rigorous methodology make it well-suited for tackling multifaceted challenges like operational delays in customer support centers, where both speed and quality are critical. The combination allows organizations to systematically identify root causes of delays and implement targeted improvements that sustain long-term performance gains (Delgado *et al*., 2010, Singh and Rathi, 2019).

2.2 Operational Delays in Customer Support

Operational delays in customer support environments commonly stem from multiple factors, including process inefficiencies, communication breakdowns, and insufficient resource allocation. Fragmented workflows often result in redundant steps, rework, and unnecessary handoffs between teams, all of which contribute to increased resolution times (Davis Giardina *et al*., 2013, Tucker, 2004). Additionally, poor demand forecasting and inadequate staffing can cause long wait times during peak periods. The reliance on outdated technology or manual processes further exacerbates delays by slowing case handling and complicating data retrieval (Maleyeff, 2006, Anderson *et al*., 2009).

The consequences of these delays are significant, impacting both customer satisfaction and operational costs. Prolonged wait times and inconsistent responses can frustrate customers, eroding trust and loyalty. In highly competitive industries, negative service experiences often lead to churn and damage to brand reputation (Akkermans and Voss, 2013, Fredendall *et al*., 2009). From an operational perspective, delays result in increased workload for support agents, higher operational expenses, and missed opportunities to cross-sell or resolve issues promptly. Inefficient support processes also strain organizational resources and reduce overall productivity (Lewis, 2003, El Khaled and Mcheick, 2019).

Numerous process improvement strategies have been explored to address operational delays in customer support centers. Approaches such as process reengineering, automation, and workforce optimization have demonstrated varying degrees of success. Continuous improvement frameworks like Lean and Six Sigma have also been employed to streamline workflows and reduce defects. However, these interventions often face challenges related to complex service interactions, variability in customer needs, and the dynamic nature of support environments. Hence, a structured, integrated methodology that combines efficiency and quality improvement principles is necessary to mitigate delays effectively (Ren *et al*., 2008, Tucker *et al*., 2014).

2.3 Lean Six Sigma in Fintech and Customer Service

The application of Lean Six Sigma in fintech operations and customer service has gained increasing attention due to the sector's rapid growth and the critical role of customer experience. Studies have highlighted how Lean Six Sigma methodologies improve operational processes by identifying bottlenecks, reducing cycle times, and enhancing service quality in fintech support environments (Ganesh, 2020, Sreedharan *et al*., 2020). For instance, fintech firms have leveraged DMAIC cycles to analyze customer interactions and implement changes that lead to faster issue resolution and fewer errors. These improvements align with fintech's need to maintain trust and regulatory compliance while managing complex digital platforms (Vashishth *et al*., 2019, Islamaj, 2021).

Despite these advances, there remains a noticeable gap in the literature regarding the tailored application of Lean Six Sigma specifically to reducing operational delays in fintech customer support centers. Many existing studies tend to focus on broader process improvements or address delays in traditional banking or manufacturing contexts rather than the unique fintech environment (Sreedharan V *et al*., 2018, Fredrik, 2021, Bandara *et al*., 2018). Fintech support presents distinct challenges such as high transaction volumes, rapid product innovation, and stringent security requirements, which require customized frameworks to address delay issues fully (Egbuhuzor *et al*., 2021, Nicoletti, 2021).

This research seeks to fill this gap by developing a Lean Six Sigma framework that is adapted to the specific operational and technological nuances of fintech customer support. By doing so, it advances both academic understanding and practical application, offering fintech organizations a targeted approach to improve their service operations. The framework aims to provide actionable insights that reconcile efficiency with compliance and customer-centric service delivery in a fast-evolving sector.

3. Conceptual Framework Development

3.1 Framework Design Rationale

The decision to adopt Lean Six Sigma as the foundational methodology for reducing operational delays in fintech customer support centers is grounded in its proven effectiveness in addressing both inefficiency and quality issues simultaneously. Lean principles focus on eliminating waste, such as unnecessary steps, waiting times, and redundant processes, that commonly cause delays (Onifade *et al*., Onifade *et al*.). Meanwhile, Six Sigma offers a data-driven approach to reduce process variation and defects, ensuring that improvements are consistent and measurable. The integration of these methodologies makes Lean Six Sigma uniquely capable of handling the dual challenges of speed and accuracy that fintech support centers face (ADEWOYIN *et al*., 2021, KOMI *et al*., 2021a).

Fintech customer support environments present specific characteristics that align well with Lean Six Sigma's strengths. These include high transaction volumes, complex workflows involving multiple touchpoints, and stringent regulatory compliance requirements(OLAJIDE *et al*., 2021a, Nwani *et al*., 2020). Such environments demand not only faster response times but also a reduction in errors and rework that can result in compliance risks or financial losses. Lean Six Sigma's structured DMAIC framework allows for systematic identification and elimination of root causes behind operational delays, ensuring that improvements are sustainable and adaptable to the fintech context (Onifade *et al*., Omoegun *et al*.).

Moreover, Lean Six Sigma encourages a culture of continuous improvement and employee involvement, which is vital in dynamic fintech settings where customer needs and product offerings rapidly evolve. This cultural alignment ensures that frontline teams embrace process improvements, fostering resilience and agility in support operations (Komi *et al*., Ogunnowo). Thus, Lean Six Sigma offers both the methodological rigor and organizational mindset needed to transform operational performance in fintech customer support (ONIFADE *et al*., 2021b, Nwangele *et al*., ADEWOYIN *et al*., 2020a).

3.2 Framework Components

The proposed framework consists of several key components, primarily structured around the DMAIC phases: Define, Measure, Analyze, Improve, and Control. Each phase incorporates Lean tools to enhance efficiency alongside Six Sigma's statistical rigor (Idemudia *et al*., OLAJIDE *et al*., 2021c). In the Define phase, the focus is on identifying critical operational delays and setting measurable improvement goals aligned with customer and business needs. Tools such as SIPOC (Suppliers, Inputs, Process, Outputs, Customers) mapping and Voice of the Customer

(VoC) analysis help clarify problem areas and priorities (Oluoha *et al*., 2021, Odedeyi *et al*., 2020).

During the Measure phase, detailed data collection on process performance is conducted, including cycle times, wait times, and defect rates. Lean tools like process flowcharts and time-motion studies complement Six Sigma's measurement system analysis to ensure data accuracy (OLAJIDE *et al*., 2020c, OLAJIDE *et al*., 2021b). The Analyze phase employs root cause analysis methods such as Fishbone diagrams and Pareto charts to pinpoint delay sources and quantify their impact on overall process performance (Adewoyin *et al*., 2020b, Onifade *et al*., 2021a).

In the Improve phase, targeted solutions are designed and tested, leveraging Lean techniques like 5S to organize workspaces and Kanban systems to manage workflow efficiently. Statistical tools guide the evaluation of improvement effectiveness (Oluoha *et al*., OLAJIDE *et al*., 2020b). Finally, the Control phase ensures sustainability through the development of control plans, dashboards, and standard operating procedures, enabling ongoing monitoring and continuous refinement. Each component directly addresses delay reduction by eliminating waste, reducing variation, and embedding quality checks (KOMI *et al*., 2021b, Ogunnowo *et al*., 2021).

3.3 Integration and Workflow

The strength of the framework lies in its seamless integration of Lean and Six Sigma tools into a cohesive, iterative workflow that optimizes fintech customer support operations. Starting with a clear problem definition, the DMAIC process guides teams through data-driven discovery and targeted improvements while Lean tools streamline activities to maintain flow and eliminate bottlenecks (SHARMA *et al*., 2021, Gbabo *et al*.). The interaction between components ensures that every stage builds upon the insights and gains of the previous one, creating a continuous feedback loop for process enhancement (Gbabo *et al*., Onifade *et al*., OLAJIDE *et al*., 2020a).

The workflow begins by engaging stakeholders to align improvement efforts with strategic objectives and customer expectations. Data collected during the Measure phase feeds directly into the Analyze stage, where delays are quantified and prioritized. Improvement initiatives are then designed with an emphasis on both speed and accuracy, reflecting the operational realities of fintech support centers. Control mechanisms embed performance tracking into daily routines, fostering accountability and quick identification of deviations.

This integrated approach facilitates agility, enabling the framework to adapt to changing fintech environments and customer needs. By combining Lean's emphasis on flow and waste reduction with Six Sigma's focus on process stability and defect control, the framework ensures that improvements are both efficient and robust (Oladuji *et al*., Adeleke *et al*., 2021, OLAJIDE *et al*., 2021d). The workflow supports collaboration across departments, improving communication and coordination, which are critical to reducing delays in multi-touchpoint service processes. Overall, this integration drives sustainable operational excellence in fintech customer support centers (ADELUSI *et al*., 2020, OSAMIKA *et al*., 2021).

4. Implementation Considerations

4.1 Organizational Readiness and Change Management

Implementing Lean Six Sigma within fintech customer support centers requires a thorough assessment of organizational readiness, particularly regarding cultural and structural factors. A major challenge lies in overcoming resistance to change, as frontline staff and management may be accustomed to established workflows and hesitant to adopt new methodologies. Additionally, hierarchical silos and fragmented communication channels can hinder the collaborative efforts necessary for successful Lean Six Sigma initiatives. Structural barriers such as unclear roles or insufficient leadership support also undermine the framework's implementation (Kufile *et al*., Ojika *et al*., 2021).

To address these challenges, a well-planned change management strategy is essential. This includes securing executive sponsorship to champion the initiative and demonstrate its alignment with strategic business goals (OGUNNOWO *et al*., 2020, EYINADE *et al*., 2020). Engaging employees early through transparent communication fosters buy-in and reduces apprehension. Training programs tailored to fintech customer support roles should build Lean Six Sigma capabilities and emphasize the benefits of process improvements for both customers and employees. Creating cross-functional teams encourages collaboration and knowledge sharing, breaking down silos and reinforcing a culture of continuous improvement (Oluoha *et al*., AYUMU and OHAKAWA, 2021).

Moreover, incorporating feedback loops and recognizing early wins can motivate ongoing participation and help institutionalize Lean Six Sigma principles. Change management must also consider fintech's fast-paced environment by maintaining flexibility in implementation timelines and adapting strategies as needed. Ultimately, fostering a supportive organizational culture that values innovation and efficiency is critical to embedding Lean Six Sigma as a sustainable operational approach (Ojika *et al*., OLAJIDE *et al*., 2021e).

4.2 Data Collection and Process Measurement

Effective data collection and process measurement form the backbone of Lean Six Sigma implementation, enabling data-driven decision making that is crucial for reducing operational delays. Accurate and timely data allows organizations to quantify performance gaps, identify bottlenecks, and monitor the impact of improvement initiatives. Without reliable metrics, efforts to improve processes risk being misguided or superficial (Adeleke, 2021).

In fintech customer support centers, key metrics should include average response time, first contact resolution rate, call abandonment rate, and cycle time for issue resolution. These indicators provide insight into both the speed and quality of support operations. Measurement techniques such as time-motion studies, process mapping, and real-time monitoring tools help capture relevant data. Incorporating customer feedback metrics like Net Promoter Score (NPS) further enriches the understanding of service effectiveness (Barbu *et al*., 2021, Buckley and Webster, 2016).

Data collection efforts must also address challenges such as data accuracy, consistency, and integration across multiple platforms commonly used in fintech support environments. Establishing standardized data definitions and employing automated data capture technologies can enhance reliability (Egbuhuzor *et al*., 2021, Płaza and Pawlik, 2021). Regular

audits and validation of data ensure that the measurement system remains robust. Ultimately, a well-designed measurement framework empowers fintech support centers to make informed improvements that target the root causes of delays (Kotarba, 2016, He *et al*., 2017).

4.3 Continuous Improvement and Sustainability

Sustaining the benefits of Lean Six Sigma in fintech customer support centers requires mechanisms for ongoing monitoring, refinement, and cultural reinforcement. Continuous improvement is not a one-time event but an embedded organizational practice that drives sustained operational excellence. To achieve this, establishing control plans and performance dashboards enables real-time tracking of key process metrics and early detection of deviations from desired targets (Gomber *et al*., 2017).

Regular review meetings involving cross-functional teams facilitate collaborative problem-solving and identification of emerging issues. Leveraging technology such as business intelligence tools and automated alerts supports proactive management. Training and development programs should continue to build Lean Six Sigma capabilities, ensuring staff remain engaged and equipped to contribute to process improvements (Jameaba, 2020).

Moreover, embedding Lean Six Sigma principles into standard operating procedures and incentive systems helps institutionalize a mindset focused on efficiency and quality (Zakaria and Lasrado, 2019). Leadership commitment to fostering a learning culture that values experimentation and innovation reinforces sustainability. By continuously analyzing customer feedback and market changes, fintech support centers can adapt their processes to evolving needs, preventing performance regression (Devane, 2004). Ultimately, continuous improvement and sustainability are achieved through a balanced approach that combines rigorous process control with flexibility and employee empowerment, ensuring that reductions in operational delays are maintained and progressively enhanced over time (Asif, 2021, Lu *et al*., 2017).

5. Conclusion

Reducing operational delays in fintech customer support centers is critical for enhancing customer satisfaction, ensuring regulatory compliance, and maintaining a competitive advantage. This paper has demonstrated that Lean Six Sigma is a highly relevant and effective methodology for addressing these challenges due to its combined focus on waste elimination and process variation reduction. By applying Lean Six Sigma principles, fintech organizations can systematically identify the root causes of delays and implement targeted improvements that enhance both efficiency and service quality.

The proposed framework, structured around the DMAIC phases and integrated Lean tools, offers a tailored approach specifically designed to meet the unique operational complexities of fintech support environments. It provides a clear pathway for measuring, analyzing, and improving processes while ensuring sustainable control. The framework's emphasis on data-driven decision making and continuous improvement aligns with the fast-paced and highly regulated nature of fintech customer service operations, promising significant reductions in operational delays and improvements in overall performance. Overall, this research highlights the importance of adopting a structured and rigorous process improvement methodology in fintech support centers. The proposed Lean Six Sigma framework not only addresses immediate operational inefficiencies but also fosters a culture of continuous excellence, positioning fintech firms to better meet evolving customer expectations and business demands.

From a theoretical standpoint, this paper contributes to the growing body of literature on operational excellence by contextualizing Lean Six Sigma within the fintech customer support domain. It bridges a notable gap by developing a framework that accounts for fintech's specific challenges, such as complex workflows, regulatory constraints, and the criticality of timely, error-free service delivery. This enhances academic understanding of how integrated process improvement methodologies can be adapted to digital financial services and customer-centric environments.

Practically, the framework offers managers and practitioners a comprehensive guide to reducing delays and improving service quality in fintech support centers. Its systematic approach facilitates more effective resource utilization, better performance monitoring, and clearer accountability. By fostering cross-functional collaboration and leveraging data-driven insights, fintech firms can achieve operational agility and resilience. This is particularly valuable as fintech companies scale and continuously innovate, ensuring that customer support operations remain efficient and responsive despite increasing complexity.

While this paper lays a strong conceptual foundation, empirical validation of the proposed framework in real-world fintech customer support settings is a vital next step. Future research could focus on case studies or pilot implementations to assess the framework's effectiveness in reducing delays and enhancing customer satisfaction. Such empirical work would provide insights into contextual factors influencing success and inform necessary adaptations to the framework. Additionally, potential expansions of the framework could explore its application across other fintech operations beyond customer support, such as fraud detection, loan processing, or digital onboarding. Adapting the framework to these areas could further drive operational excellence across the fintech value chain. Integration with emerging technologies like artificial intelligence, robotic process automation, and advanced analytics also presents promising opportunities to augment Lean Six Sigma efforts, enabling more predictive and automated improvements.

Finally, future work might investigate the interplay between Lean Six Sigma and regulatory compliance frameworks in fintech, ensuring that operational improvements also support governance and risk management objectives. These research directions will contribute to the continued evolution and relevance of Lean Six Sigma methodologies within the dynamic fintech industry.

6. References

1. Adeleke AK. Ultraprecision Diamond Turning of Monocrystalline Germanium. [Unpublished]. 2021.
2. Adeleke AK, Igunma TO, Nwokediegwu ZS. Modeling advanced numerical control systems to enhance precision in next-generation coordinate measuring machine. International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation. 2021;2(4):638-649.
3. Adelusi BS, Uzoka AC, Goodness Y, Hassan FUO. Leveraging Transformer-Based Large Language Models for Parametric Estimation of Cost and Schedule in Agile Software Development Projects. [Unpublished]. 2020.

4. Adewoyin MA, Ogunnowo EO, Fiemotongha JE, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. Advances in Thermofluid Simulation for Heat Transfer Optimization in Compact Mechanical Devices. [Unpublished]. 2020a.
5. Adewoyin MA, Ogunnowo EO, Fiemotongha JE, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. A Conceptual Framework for Dynamic Mechanical Analysis in High-Performance Material Selection. [Unpublished]. 2020b.
6. Adewoyin MA, Ogunnowo EO, Fiemotongha JE, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. Advances in CFD-Driven Design for Fluid-Particle Separation and Filtration Systems in Engineering Applications. [Unpublished]. 2021.
7. Ahmed S, Manaf NH, Islam R. Effects of Lean Six Sigma application in healthcare services: a literature review. Reviews on Environmental Health. 2013;28(4):189-194.
8. Akkermans H, Voss C. The service bullwhip effect. International Journal of Operations & Production Management. 2013;33(6):765-788.
9. Anderson SW, Baggett LS, Widener SK. The impact of service operations failures on customer satisfaction: evidence on how failures and their source affect what matters to customers. Manufacturing & Service Operations Management. 2009;11(1):52-69.
10. Asif M. Lean Six Sigma institutionalization and knowledge creation: towards developing theory. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence. 2021;32(7-8):811-828.
11. Ayumu MT, Ohakawa TC. Optimizing Public-Private Partnerships (PPP) in Affordable Housing Through Fiscal Accountability Frameworks, Ghana in Focus. [Unpublished]. 2021.
12. Bandara O, Tharaka V, Wickramarachchi A. Industry 4.0 and Lean based Operational Performance Improvement Approach: A Conceptual Framework for the Banking Sector. [Unpublished]. 2018.
13. Barbu CM, Florea DL, Dabija D-C, Barbu MCR. Customer experience in fintech. Journal of Theoretical and Applied Electronic Commerce Research. 2021;16(5):1415-1433.
14. Bendell T. A review and comparison of six sigma and the lean organisations. The TQM Magazine. 2006;18(3):255-262.
15. Buckley RP, Webster S. FinTech in developing countries: charting new customer journeys. Journal of Financial Transformation. 2016;44:104-117.
16. Chiu IH. Fintech and disruptive business models in financial products, intermediation and markets-policy implications for financial regulators. Journal of Technology Law & Policy. 2016;21(1):55-112.
17. Chugani N, Kumar V, Garza-Reyes JA, Rocha-Lona L, Upadhyay A. Investigating the green impact of Lean, Six Sigma and Lean Six Sigma: A systematic literature review. International Journal of Lean Six Sigma. 2017;8(1):7-32.
18. Davis Giardina T, King BJ, Ignaczak AP, Paull DE, Hoeksema L, Mills PD, Neily J, Hemphill RR, Singh H. Root cause analysis reports help identify common factors in delayed diagnosis and treatment of outpatients. Health Affairs. 2013;32(8):1368-1375.
19. Delgado C, Ferreira M, Castelo Branco M. The implementation of lean Six Sigma in financial services organizations. Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management. 2010;21(4):512-523.
20. Devane T. Integrating Lean Six Sigma and High-Performance Organizations: Leading the charge toward dramatic, rapid, and sustainable improvement. San Francisco: John Wiley & Sons; 2004.
21. Egbuhuzor NS, Ajayi AJ, Akhigbe EE, Agbede OO, Ewim CP-M, Ajiga DI. Cloud-based CRM systems: Revolutionizing customer engagement in the financial sector with artificial intelligence. International Journal of Science and Research Archive. 2021;3(2):215-234.
22. El Khaled Z, Mcheick H. Case studies of communications systems during harsh environments: A review of approaches, weaknesses, and limitations to improve quality of service. International Journal of Distributed Sensor Networks. 2019;15(2):1550147719829960.
23. Eyinade W, Ezeilo OJ, Ogundeji IA. A Treasury Management Model for Predicting Liquidity Risk in Dynamic Emerging Market Energy Sectors. [Unpublished]. 2020.
24. Fredendall LD, Craig JB, Fowler PJ, Damali U. Barriers to swift, even flow in the internal supply chain of perioperative surgical services department: a case study. Decision Sciences. 2009;40(2):327-349.
25. Fredrik G. The process of on-boarding minors in retail banking, A process evaluation using the Lean Six Sigma framework. [Unpublished]. 2021.
26. Gaikwad L, Sunnapwar V. An integrated Lean, Green and Six Sigma strategies: a systematic literature review and directions for future research. The TQM Journal. 2020;32(2):201-225.
27. Ganesh L. Lean Six Sigma in Banking Services. Singapore: Springer; 2020.
28. Garza-Reyes JA. Green lean and the need for Six Sigma. International Journal of Lean Six Sigma. 2015;6(3):226-248.
29. Gbabo EY, Okenwa OK, Chima PE. Constructing AI-Enabled Compliance Automation Models for Real-Time Regulatory Reporting in Energy Systems. [Unpublished]. [No date].
30. Gbabo EY, Okenwa OK, Chima PE. Integrating CDM Regulations into Role-Based Compliance Models for Energy Infrastructure Projects. [Unpublished]. [No date].
31. Gomber P, Koch J-A, Siering M. Digital Finance and FinTech: current research and future research directions. Journal of Business Economics. 2017;87(5):537-580.
32. González Páramo JM. Financial innovation in the digital age: Challenges for regulation and supervision. Revista de Estabilidad Financiera/Banco de España. 2017;32:9-37.
33. Goodman J. Strategic customer service: Managing the customer experience to increase positive word of mouth, build loyalty, and maximize profits. New York: Amacom; 2019.
34. He MD, Leckow MRB, Haksar MV, Griffoli MTM, Jenkinson N, Kashima MM, Khiaonarong T, Rochon MC, Tourpe H. Fintech and financial services: Initial considerations. Washington, DC: International Monetary Fund; 2017.
35. Hendrikse R, Bassens D, Van Meeteren M. The Appleization of finance: Charting incumbent finance's embrace of FinTech. Finance and Society. 2018;4(2):159-180.

36. Hendrikse R, Van Meeteren M, Bassens D. Strategic coupling between finance, technology and the state: Cultivating a Fintech ecosystem for incumbent finance. Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space. 2020;52(8):1516-1538.
37. Idemudia BMOS, Chima OK, Ezeilo OJ, Ochefu A. Entrepreneurship Resilience Models in Resource-Constrained Settings: Cross-national Framework. World. 2021;2579:0544.
38. Islamaj B. Evaluating lean six sigma implementations in financial services using soft system methodology. Sheffield: Sheffield Hallam University (United Kingdom); 2021.
39. Jameaba M-S. Digitization revolution, FinTech disruption, and financial stability: Using the case of Indonesian banking ecosystem to highlight wide-ranging digitization opportunities and major challenges. [Unpublished]. 2020.
40. Josyula HP, Expert F. The role of fintech in shaping the future of banking services. The International Journal of Interdisciplinary Organizational Studies. 2021;16(2):187-201.
41. Komi LS, Chianumba EC, Forkuo AY, Osamika D, Mustapha AY. A Conceptual Framework for Addressing Digital Health Literacy and Access Gaps in US Underrepresented Communities. [Unpublished]. [No date].
42. Komi LS, Chianumba EC, Yeboah A, Forkuo DO, Mustapha AY. Advances in Community-Led Digital Health Strategies for Expanding Access in Rural and Underserved Populations. [Unpublished]. 2021a.
43. Komi LS, Chianumba EC, Yeboah A, Forkuo DO, Mustapha AY. A Conceptual Framework for Telehealth Integration in Conflict Zones and Post-Disaster Public Health Responses. [Unpublished]. 2021b.
44. Kotarba M. New factors inducing changes in the retail banking customer relationship management (CRM) and their exploration by the FinTech industry. Foundations of Management. 2016;8(1):69-78.
45. Kufile OT, Otokiti BO, Onifade AY, Ogunwale B, Okolo CH. Modelling Attribution-Driven Budgeting Systems for High-Intent Consumer Acquisition. [Unpublished]. [No date].
46. Lee I. Big data: Dimensions, evolution, impacts, and challenges. Business Horizons. 2017;60(3):293-303.
47. Lewis MA. Cause, consequence and control: towards a theoretical and practical model of operational risk. Journal of Operations Management. 2003;21(2):205-224.
48. Lu J, Laux C, Antony J. Lean Six Sigma leadership in higher education institutions. International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management. 2017;66(5):638-650.
49. Maleyeff J. Exploration of internal service systems using lean principles. Management Decision. 2006;44(5):674-689.
50. Muraliraj J, Zailani S, Kuppusamy S, Santha C. Annotated methodological review of lean six sigma. International Journal of Lean Six Sigma. 2018;9(1):2-49.
51. Näslund D. Lean, six sigma and lean sigma: fads or real process improvement methods? Business Process Management Journal. 2008;14(3):269-287.
52. Ng IC, Maull R, Yip N. Outcome-based contracts as a driver for systems thinking and service-dominant logic in service science: Evidence from the defence industry. European Management Journal. 2009;27(6):377-387.
53. Nicoletti B. Processes in Banking 5.0. In: Banking 5.0: How Fintech Will Change Traditional Banks in the 'New Normal' Post Pandemic. Cham: Springer; 2021:171-213.
54. Nwangele CR, Adewuyi A, Ajuwon A, Akintobi AO. Advances in Sustainable Investment Models: Leveraging AI for Social Impact Projects in Africa. [Unpublished]. [No date].
55. Nwani S, Abiola-Adams O, Otokiti BO, Ogeawuchi JC. Building Operational Readiness Assessment Models for Micro, Small, and Medium Enterprises Seeking Government-Backed Financing. [Unpublished]. 2020.
56. Odedeyi PB, Abou-El-Hossein K, Oyekunle F, Adeleke AK. Effects of machining parameters on Tool wear progression in End milling of AISI 316. Progress in Canadian Mechanical Engineering. 2020;3:1-10.
57. Ogunnowo EO. A Conceptual Framework for Digital Twin Deployment in Real-Time Monitoring of Mechanical Systems. [Unpublished]. [No date].
58. Ogunnowo EO, Adewoyin MA, Fiemotongha JE, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. Systematic Review of Non-Destructive Testing Methods for Predictive Failure Analysis in Mechanical Systems. [Unpublished]. 2020.
59. Ogunnowo EO, Adewoyin MA, Fiemotongha JE, Igunma TO, Adeleke AK. A Conceptual Model for Simulation-Based Optimization of HVAC Systems Using Heat Flow Analytics. [Unpublished]. 2021.
60. Ojika FU, Owobu WO, Abieba OA, Esan OJ, Ubamadu BC, Daraojimba AI. The Role of AI in Cybersecurity: A Cross-Industry Model for Integrating Machine Learning and Data Analysis for Improved Threat Detection. [Unpublished]. [No date].
61. Ojika FU, Owobu WO, Abieba OA, Esan OJ, Ubamadu BC, Ifesinachi A. Optimizing AI Models for Cross-Functional Collaboration: A Framework for Improving Product Roadmap Execution in Agile Teams. [Unpublished]. 2021.
62. Oladosu SA, Ike CC, Adepoju PA, Afolabi AI, Ige AB, Amoo OO. The future of SD-WAN: A conceptual evolution from traditional WAN to autonomous, selfhealing network systems. Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews. 2021;3(2):95-107.
63. Oladuji TJ, Akintobi AO, Nwangele CR, Ajuwon A. A Model for Leveraging AI and Big Data to Predict and Mitigate Financial Risk in African Markets. [Unpublished]. [No date].
64. Olajide JO, Otokiti BO, Nwani S, Ogunmokun AS, Adekunle BI, Efekpogua J. Designing a Financial Planning Framework for Managing SLOB and Write-Off Risk in Fast-Moving Consumer Goods (FMCG). [Unpublished]. 2020a.
65. Olajide JO, Otokiti BO, Nwani S, Ogunmokun AS, Adekunle BI, Efekpogua J. Designing Integrated Financial Governance Systems for Waste Reduction and Inventory Optimization. [Unpublished]. 2020b.
66. Olajide JO, Otokiti BO, Nwani S, Ogunmokun AS, Adekunle BI, Efekpogua J. Developing a Financial Analytics Framework for End-to-End Logistics and Distribution Cost Control. [Unpublished]. 2020c.
67. Olajide JO, Otokiti BO, Nwani S, Ogunmokun AS, Adekunle BI, Efekpogua J. Building an IFRS-Driven Internal Audit Model for Manufacturing and Logistics Operations. [Unpublished]. 2021a.

68. Olajide JO, Otokiti BO, Nwani S, Ogunmokun AS, Adekunle BI, Efekpogua J. Developing Internal Control and Risk Assurance Frameworks for Compliance in Supply Chain Finance. [Unpublished]. 2021b.
69. Olajide JO, Otokiti BO, Nwani S, Ogunmokun AS, Adekunle BI, Efekpogua J. A Framework for Gross Margin Expansion Through Factory-Specific Financial Health Checks. [Unpublished]. 2021c.
70. Olajide JO, Otokiti BO, Nwani S, Ogunmokun AS, Adekunle BI, Efekpogua J. Modeling Financial Impact of Plant-Level Waste Reduction in Multi-Factory Manufacturing Environments. [Unpublished]. 2021d.
71. Olajide JO, Otokiti BO, Nwani S, Ogunmokun AS, Adekunle BI, Efekpogua J. A Strategic Model for Reducing Days-on-Hand (DOH) Through Logistics and Procurement Synchronization. [Unpublished]. 2021e.
72. Oluoha O, Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F, Attipoe V, Orieno O. Optimizing Business Decision-Making with Advanced Data Analytics Techniques. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2022;6(5):184-203.
73. Oluoha O, Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F, Attipoe V, Orieno OH. Development of a Compliance-Driven Identity Governance Model for Enhancing Enterprise Information Security. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2021;4(12):310-324.
74. Oluoha OM, Odeshina A, Reis O, Okpeke F, Attipoe V, Orieno OH. Designing Advanced Digital Solutions for Privileged Access Management and Continuous Compliance Monitoring. [Unpublished]. [No date].
75. Omoegun G, Fiemotongha JE, Omisola JO, Okenwa OK, Onaghinor O. Advances in ERP-Integrated Logistics Management for Reducing Delivery Delays and Enhancing Project Delivery. [Unpublished]. [No date].
76. Onifade AY, Dosumu RE, Abayomi AA, Aderemi O. Advances in Cross-Industry Application of Predictive Marketing Intelligence for Revenue Uplift. [Unpublished]. [No date].
77. Onifade AY, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi A, Agboola O, Dosumu RE, George OO. A conceptual framework for integrating customer intelligence into regional market expansion strategies. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2021a;5(5):189-194.
78. Onifade AY, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi A, Agboola O, George O. Advances in Multi-Channel Attribution Modeling for Enhancing Marketing ROI in Emerging Economies. Iconic Research and Engineering Journals. 2021b;5(6):360-376.
79. Onifade AY, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA. Data-Driven Engagement Framework: Optimizing Client Relationships and Retention in the Aviation Sector. [Unpublished]. [No date].
80. Onifade AY, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Aderemi O. Advances in CRM-Driven Marketing Intelligence for Enhancing Conversion Rates and Lifetime Value Models. [Unpublished]. [No date].
81. Osamika D, Adelusi BS, Chinyeaka M, Kelvin-Agwu AYM, Ikhalea N. Machine Learning Models for Early Detection of Cardiovascular Diseases: A Systematic Review. [Unpublished]. 2021.
82. Pacheco D, Pergher I, Vaccaro GLR, Jung CF, Ten Caten C. 18 comparative aspects between Lean and Six Sigma: Complementarity and implications. International Journal of Lean Six Sigma. 2015;6(2):161-175.
83. Parimi SS. Optimizing Financial Reporting and Compliance in SAP with Machine Learning Techniques. SSRN Electronic Journal. 2018:4934911.
84. Płaza M, Pawlik Ł. Influence of the contact center systems development on key performance indicators. IEEE Access. 2021;9:44580-44591.
85. Ravichandran N, Inaganti AC, Muppalaneni R, Nersu SRK. AI-Driven Self-Healing IT Systems: Automating Incident Detection and Resolution in Cloud Environments. Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning Review. 2020;1(1):1-11.
86. Ren Y, Kiesler S, Fussell SR. Multiple group coordination in complex and dynamic task environments: Interruptions, coping mechanisms, and technology recommendations. Journal of Management Information Systems. 2008;25(1):105-130.
87. Ruben RB, Vinodh S, Asokan P. Lean Six Sigma with environmental focus: review and framework. The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology. 2018;94(9-12):4023-4037.
88. Salah S, Rahim A. The integration of six sigma and lean. In: An Integrated Company-Wide Management System: Combining Lean Six Sigma with Process Improvement. Cham: Springer; 2018:51-66.
89. Salah S, Rahim A, Carretero JA. The integration of Six Sigma and lean management. International Journal of Lean Six Sigma. 2010;1(3):249-274.
90. Scardovi C. Digital transformation in financial services. Cham: Springer; 2017.
91. Sharma A, Adekunle BI, Ogeawuchi JC, Abayomi AA, Onifade O. Governance Challenges in Cross-Border Fintech Operations: Policy, Compliance, and Cyber Risk Management in the Digital Age. [Unpublished]. 2021.
92. Singh M, Rathi R. A structured review of Lean Six Sigma in various industrial sectors. International Journal of Lean Six Sigma. 2019;10(2):622-664.
93. Somu B. Transforming Customer Experience in Digital Banking Through Machine Learning Applications. International Journal of Engineering and Computer Science. 2020;9(6):25102-25107.
94. Sreedharan VR, Sunder MV, R R. Critical success factors of TQM, Six Sigma, Lean and Lean Six Sigma: A literature review and key findings. Benchmarking: An International Journal. 2018;25(8):3479-3504.
95. Sreedharan VR, Pattusamy M, Mohan S, Persis DJ. A systematic literature review of Lean Six Sigma in financial services: key finding and analysis. International Journal of Business Excellence. 2020;21(3):331-358.
96. Tucker AL. The impact of operational failures on hospital nurses and their patients. Journal of Operations Management. 2004;22(2):151-169.
97. Tucker AL, Heisler WS, Janisse LD. Organizational factors that contribute to operational failures in hospitals. [Unpublished]. 2014.
98. Vashishth A, Chakraborty A, Antony J. Lean Six Sigma in financial services industry: a systematic review and agenda for future research. Total Quality Management & Business Excellence. 2019;30(3-4):447-465.
99. Wu HJ, Dunn SC. Environmentally responsible logistics systems. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management. 1995;25(2):20-38.
100. Zakaria N, Lasrado F. Embedding culture and quality for high performing organizations. Boca Raton: CRC Press; 2019.