



Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research

Consequence of Fixed Point in Controlled Metric Space with Application

Shilpa Rathore ^{1*}, Dr. Abha Tenguria ²

¹ Research Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Barkatullah University, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

² Professor and Head, Department of Mathematics, Govt. MLB Girls PG. College, Bhopal, Madhya Pradesh, India

* Corresponding Author: **Shilpa Rathore**

Article Info

E-ISSN: 3050-9726

P-ISSN: 3050-9718

Volume: 06

Issue: 02

July – December 2025

Received: 06-05-2025

Accepted: 08-06-2025

Published: 02-07-2025

Page No: 103-109

Abstract

In this paper, we deduce certain generalized fixed point results as a consequence of our main results and obtain some common fixed point theorems for generalized contractions using specific control functions in controlled metric space. Additionally, Numerous well-known findings from the literature will be modified and generalized by our findings. To demonstrate the validity of the stated results, we also offer an example. We study the solution of integral equations as an application of our fundamental finding.

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.54660/JFMR.2025.6.2.103-109>

Keywords: Fixed Point, Controlled Metric Spaces, Control Functions, Integral Equations

Introduction

In 1906, M. Frechet provided a basic explanation of the manifest evolution of a metric space. In recent years, numerous academics have expanded and generalized this concept. Examples include complex valued metric space, cone metric space, 7-metric space, Θ -metric space, orthogonal metric space, extended b-metric space, b-metric space, and controlled metric space.

Czerwik ^[1] gave the notion of a *b*-metric space as follows:

Definition 1. (see.[1]) Let $R/\neq\emptyset$ and $s \geq 1$ and $\tau: R \times R \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. If:

$$(\tau 1) \tau(\mu, \zeta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu = \zeta;$$

$$(\tau 2) \tau(\mu, \zeta) = \tau(\zeta, \mu) \text{ for all } \mu, \zeta \in R;$$

$$(\tau 3) \tau(\mu, \omega) \leq s[\tau(\mu, \zeta) + \tau(\zeta, \omega)] \text{ for all } \mu, \zeta, \omega \in R.$$

Then (R, τ) is said to be a *b*-metric space.

Kamran *et al.* [2] defined the notion of an extended *b*-metric space in 2017:

Definition 2. Let $R/\neq\emptyset$ and $\sigma: R \times R \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and $\tau: R \times R \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. If

$$\tau(\mu, \zeta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu = \zeta;$$

$$\tau(\mu, \zeta) = \tau(\zeta, \mu);$$

$$\tau(\mu, \zeta) \leq \sigma(\mu, \zeta)[\tau(\mu, \omega) + \tau(\zeta, \omega)].$$

Then (R, τ) is said to be an extended b -metric space.

In 2018, a new type of extended b -metric space was given by Mlaiki *et al.* [3]:

Definition 3 ([3]). Let $R \neq \emptyset$ and $\sigma: R \times R \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ and $\tau: R \times R \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. If:

$$\tau(\mu, \zeta) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \mu = \zeta;$$

$$\tau(\mu, \zeta) = \tau(\zeta, \mu);$$

$$\tau(\mu, \zeta) \leq \sigma(\mu, \omega)\tau(\mu, \omega) + \sigma(\zeta, \omega)\tau(\zeta, \omega).$$

Then (R, τ, σ) is said to be a controlled metric space.

Example 1 ([3]). Let $R = \{1, 2, \dots\}$. Take $\tau: R \times R \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ as

$$\tau(\mu, \zeta) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \mu = \zeta \\ \frac{1}{\mu}, & \text{if } \mu \text{ is even and } \zeta \text{ is odd} \\ \frac{1}{\zeta}, & \text{if } \mu \text{ is odd and } \zeta \text{ is even} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

consider $\sigma: R \times R \rightarrow [1, \infty)$ as

$$\sigma(\mu, \zeta) = \begin{cases} \mu, & \text{if } \mu \text{ is even and } \zeta \text{ is odd} \\ \zeta, & \text{if } \mu \text{ is odd and } \zeta \text{ is even} \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then, τ is a controlled metric and (R, τ, σ) is a controlled metric space.

Theorem 1 ([3]). Let (R, σ, τ) be a complete controlled metric space. Let $V: R \rightarrow R$ be such that:

$$\tau(V\mu, V\zeta) \leq \lambda(\tau(\mu, \zeta))$$

$$\sup \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_{i+2}) \sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_m)}{\sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})} < \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Furthermore, suppose that, $\forall \mu \in R$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu_n, \mu)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu, \mu_n)$ which exist and are finite. Then, $\exists \mu^* \in R$ such that $V\mu^* = \mu^*$ which is unique.

Lateef [4] established Kannan [5] type fixed point theorems in the setting of a controlled metric space.

Theorem 2 ([4]). Let (R, σ, τ) be a complete controlled metric space. Let $V: R \rightarrow R$ be such that:

$$\tau(V\mu, V\zeta) \leq \lambda(\tau(\mu, V\mu) + \tau(\zeta, V\zeta))$$

$\forall \mu, \zeta \in R$, where $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. For $\mu_0 \in R$, take $\mu_n = V^n \mu_0$. Assume that:

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_{i+2}) \sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_m)}{\sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})} < \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Furthermore, suppose that, $\forall \mu \in R$, we have: $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu_n, \mu)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu, \mu_n)$ exist and are finite. Then, $\exists \mu^* \in R$ such that $V\mu^* = \mu^*$ which is unique.

Ahmad [6] established a Reich type fixed-point theorem in the setting of controlled metric space as follows.

Theorem 3. Let (R, σ, τ) be a complete controlled metric space and $V: R \rightarrow R$. If there exists $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in (0, 1)$ such that $\lambda = \alpha + \beta + \gamma < 1$ and:

$$\tau(V\mu, V\zeta) \leq \alpha \tau(\mu, \zeta) + \beta \tau(\mu, V\mu) + \gamma \tau(\zeta, V\zeta)$$

$\forall \mu, \zeta \in R$. For $\mu_0 \in R$, take $\mu_n = V^n \mu_0$. Suppose that:

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_{i+2}) \sigma(\mu_{i+1}, \mu_m)}{\sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})} < \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

Furthermore, suppose that, for every $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu_n, \mu)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu, \mu_n)$ Which exist and are finite. Then, $\exists \mu^* \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $V\mu^* = \mu^*$ which is unique.

Later on, Abuloha *et al.* [7], Alamgir *et al.* [8], Abdeljawad *et al.* [9], Lateef [10], Hussain [11], Mlaiki *et al.* [12], Shatanawi *et al.* [13], Sezen *et al.* [14] and Tasneem *et al.* [15] studied controlled metric spaces and established different fixed-point results for self and multi valued mappings. For more details, in this direction, we refer the readers to [1-17].

In this paper, we obtain some common fixed-point results for generalized contractions involving some certain control functions in the setting of controlled metric spaces. We also proved some common fixed-point theorems in controlled metric spaces endowed with a graph. We also provided an example to show the legitimacy of the established results. As an application of our main result, we investigate the solution of integral equations.

Main Results

We state our main result as follows.

Theorem 4. Let (R, σ, τ) be a complete controlled metrics space and $V, U: R \rightarrow R$. If there exists $\alpha, \beta: R \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that:

$$\alpha(V\mu) \leq \alpha(\mu), \beta(V\mu) \leq \beta(\mu) \text{ and } \gamma(V\mu) \leq \gamma(\mu)$$

$$\alpha(U\mu) \leq \alpha(\mu), \beta(U\mu) \leq \beta(\mu) \text{ and } \gamma(U\mu) \leq \gamma(\mu)$$

$$(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(\mu) < 1;$$

$$\tau(V\mu, U\zeta) \leq \alpha(\mu)\tau(\mu, \zeta) + \beta \frac{\tau(\mu, V\mu)\tau(\zeta, U\zeta)}{1 + \tau(\mu, \zeta)} + \gamma(\mu) \frac{\tau(\mu, V\mu)^2}{\tau(\mu, \zeta)}$$

for all $\mu, \zeta \in R$. For $\mu_0 \in R$, we set $\frac{\alpha(\mu_0) + \gamma(\mu_0)}{1 - \beta(\mu_0)} = \lambda$. Suppose that:

$$\sup_{m \geq 1} \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sigma(\mu_i + 1, \mu_i + 2)\sigma(\mu_i + 1, \mu_m)}{\sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})} < \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

where $\mu_{2n+1} = V\mu_{2n}$ and $\mu_{2n+2} = U\mu_{2n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$. In addition, assume that, for every $\mu \in R$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu_n, \mu)$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma(\mu, \mu_n)$ which exist and are finite. Then, V and U have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $\mu_0 \in R$. We construct $\{\mu_n\}$ in R by $\mu_{2n+1} = V\mu_{2n}$ and $\mu_{2n+2} = U\mu_{2n+1}$ for each $n \geq 0$. From hypothesis and (1) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) &= \tau(V\mu_{2n}, U\mu_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha(\mu_{2n})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(\mu_{2n}) \frac{\tau(\mu_{2n}, V\mu_{2n})\tau(\mu_{2n+1}, U\mu_{2n+1})}{1 + \tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1})} + \\ &\gamma(\mu_{2n}) \frac{\tau(\mu_{2n}, V\mu_{2n})^2}{\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1})} \\ &= \alpha(\mu_{2n})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(\mu_{2n}) \frac{\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1})\tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2})}{1 + \tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1})} + \\ &\gamma(\mu_{2n}) \frac{\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1})^2}{\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1})} \\ &\leq \alpha(\mu_{2n})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(\mu_{2n}) \tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) \\ &+ \gamma(\mu_{2n})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) \\ &= \mu_{2n-1})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(U\mu_{2n-1})\tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) + \gamma(U\mu_{2n-1})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha(\mu_{2n-1})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(\mu_{2n-1}) \tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) + \gamma(\mu_{2n-1})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) \\ &= \alpha(V\mu_{2n-2})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(V\mu_{2n-2}) \tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) + \gamma(V\mu_{2n-2})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) \\ &\leq \alpha(\mu_{2n-2})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(\mu_{2n-2}) \tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) + \gamma(\mu_{2n-2})\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) \\ &\dots \\ &\leq \alpha(\mu_0)\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(\mu_0) \tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) + \gamma(\mu_0)\tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1}) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that:

$$\tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) \leq \frac{\alpha(\mu_0) + \gamma(\mu_0)}{1 - \beta(\mu_0)} \tau(\mu_{2n}, \mu_{2n+1})$$

similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) &= \tau(U \mu_{2n+1}, V \mu_{2n+1}) \\
&= \tau(V \mu_{2n+2}, U \mu_{2n+1}) \\
&\leq \alpha(\mu_{2n+2})\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(\mu_{2n+2}) \frac{\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, V \mu_{2n+2})\tau(\mu_{2n+1}, V \mu_{2n+1})}{1 + \tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+1})} + \gamma(\mu_{2n+2}) \frac{\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, V \mu_{2n+2})^2}{\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+1})} \\
&\leq \alpha(\mu_{2n+2})\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(\mu_{2n+2}) \frac{\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3})\tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2})}{1 + \tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+1})} + \gamma(\mu_{2n+2}) \frac{\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3})^2}{\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+1})} \\
&= \alpha(\mu_{2n+2})\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(\mu_{2n+2})\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) + \gamma(\mu_{2n+2}) \tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) \\
&= \alpha(U \mu_{2n+1})\tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) + \beta(U \mu_{2n+1})\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) + \gamma(U \mu_{2n+1}) \tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) \\
&\leq \alpha(\mu_{2n+1})\tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) + \beta(\mu_{2n+1})\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) + \gamma(\mu_{2n+1}) \tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) \\
&= \alpha(V \mu_{2n})\tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) + \beta(V \mu_{2n})\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) + \gamma(V \mu_{2n}) \tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) \\
&\leq \alpha(\mu_{2n})\tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) + \beta(\mu_{2n})\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) + \gamma(\mu_{2n}) \tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) \\
&\dots \\
&\dots \\
&\leq \alpha(\mu_0)\tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2}) + \beta(\mu_0)\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) + \gamma(\mu_0) \tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3})
\end{aligned}$$

Which implies that:

$$\tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) \leq \frac{\alpha(\mu_0) + \gamma(\mu_0)}{1 - \beta(\mu_0)} \tau(\mu_{2n+2}, \mu_{2n+3}) = \lambda \tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2})$$

pursuing in this direction, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) &\leq \lambda \tau(\mu_{n-1}, \mu_n) \\
&\leq \lambda^2 \tau(\mu_{n-2}, \mu_{n-1}) \\
&\leq \dots \lambda^n \tau(\mu_0, \mu_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\tau(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \leq \lambda^n \tau(\mu_0, \mu_1) \tag{3}$$

For all $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ($n < m$), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\mu_n, \mu_m) &\leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\tau(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m)\tau(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m) \\
&\leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\tau(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_{n+2})\tau(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_{n+2}) + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+2}, \mu_m)\tau(\mu_{n+2}, \mu_m) \\
&\leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\tau(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_{n+2})\tau(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_{n+2}) + \sigma(\mu_{n+1}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+2}, \mu_m)\sigma(\mu_{n+2}, \mu_{n+3})\tau(\mu_{n+2}, \mu_{n+3}) \\
&\dots \\
&\dots \\
&\leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\tau(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \sigma(\mu_j, \mu_m) \right) \\
&\dots \\
&\dots \\
&\leq \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1})\tau(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1}) + \prod_{i=n+1}^{m-1} (\mu_i, \mu_m)\tau(\mu_{m-1}, \mu_m)
\end{aligned}$$

which further implies that:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tau(\mu_n, \mu_m) &\leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1})\tau(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \sigma(\mu_j, \mu_m) \right) \\
&\dots \\
&\dots \\
&\leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \lambda^n \tau(\mu_0, \mu_1) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-2} \left(\prod_{j=n+1}^i \sigma(\mu_j, \mu_m) \right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1}) \lambda^i \tau(\mu_0, \mu_1) + \prod_{i=n+1}^{m-1} (\mu_i, \mu_m) \tau(\mu_{m-1}, \mu_m) \\ & = \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \lambda^n \tau(\mu_0, \mu_1) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-1} (\prod_{j=n+1}^i \sigma(\mu_j, \mu_m)) \\ & \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1}) \lambda^i \tau(\mu_0, \mu_1) \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mu_n, \mu_m) & \leq \sigma(\mu_n, \mu_{n+1}) \lambda^n \tau(\mu_0, \mu_1) + \sum_{i=n+1}^{m-1} (\prod_{j=n+1}^i \sigma(\mu_j, \mu_m)) \\ & \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1}) \lambda^i \tau(\mu_0, \mu_1) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Let

$$S_l = \sum_{i=0}^l (\prod_{j=0}^i \sigma(\mu_j, \mu_m)) \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1}) \lambda^i \tau(\mu_0, \mu_1)$$

From (4) we obtain :

$$\tau(\mu_n, \mu_m) \leq \sigma(\mu_0, \mu_1) [\lambda^n \sigma(\mu_i, \mu_{i+1}) \lambda^i \tau(\mu_0, \mu_1) + S_{m-1} - S_n] \tag{5}$$

Now, using the fact that $\sigma(\mu, \zeta) \geq 1$, and by using the ratio test, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$ exist. Hence, $\{S_n\}$ is Cauchy. Eventually, taking $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ in (5), we obtain that :

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \tau(\mu_n, \mu_m) = 0 \tag{6}$$

Hence, $\{\mu_n\}$ is a Cauchy (R, τ, σ) . Thus, $\exists \mu^* \in R$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau(\mu_n, \mu^*) = 0 \tag{7}$$

that is $\mu_n \rightarrow \mu^*$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now, by (1) and condition (iii), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mu^*, V\mu^*) & \leq \sigma(\mu^*, \mu_{2n+2}) \tau(\mu^*, \mu_{2n+2}) + \sigma(\mu_{2n+2}, V\mu^*) \tau(\mu_{2n+2}, V\mu^*) \\ & = \sigma(\mu^*, \mu_{2n+2}) \tau(\mu^*, \mu_{2n+2}) + \sigma(\mu_{2n+2}, V\mu^*) \tau(U\mu_{2n+1}, V\mu^*) \\ & = \sigma(\mu^*, \mu_{2n+2}) \tau(\mu^*, \mu_{2n+2}) + \sigma(\mu_{2n+2}, V\mu^*) \tau(V\mu^*, U\mu_{2n+1}) \\ & = \sigma(\mu^*, \mu_{2n+2}) \tau(\mu^*, \mu_{2n+2}) + \sigma(\mu_{2n+2}, V\mu^*) \tau(V\mu^*, U\mu_{2n+1}) \\ & \left[\alpha(\mu^*) \tau(\mu^*, \mu_{2n+1}) + \beta(\mu^*) \frac{\tau(\mu^*, V\mu^*) \tau(\mu_{2n+1}, \mu_{2n+2})}{1 + (\mu^*, \mu_{2n+1})} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \gamma(\mu^*) \frac{\tau(\mu^*, V\mu^*)^2}{\tau(\mu^*, \mu_{2n+1})} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ and using (7), we obtain a contradiction to $\tau(\mu^*, V\mu^*) > 0$. Thus, $\tau(\mu^*, V\mu^*) = 0$. implies that $\mu^* = V\mu^*$. This It follows similarly that $\mu^* = U\mu^*$. Therefore, μ^* is a common fixed point of V and U. Eventually, we show that μ^* is a unique common fixed point of V and U. Assume that there exists another common fixed point μ' that is $\mu' = V\mu' = U\mu'$. It follows from:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(\mu^*, \mu') & = \tau(V\mu^*, U\mu') \leq \alpha(\mu^*) \tau(\mu^*, \mu') + \beta(\mu^*) \frac{\tau(\mu^*, V\mu^*) \tau(\zeta, U\mu')}{1 + (\mu^*, \mu')} + \gamma(\mu^*) \frac{\tau(\mu^*, V\mu^*)^2}{\tau(\mu^*, \mu')} \\ & = \alpha(\mu^*) \tau(\mu^*, \mu'). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\alpha(\mu^*) \in [0, 1)$, so we have $\tau(\mu^*, \mu')$. Therefore, we have $\mu^* = \mu'$ and thus μ^* is a unique common fixed point of V and U.

Application

Theorem 5. Letting $R = C([0, 1])$, Now, we define $T: R \times R \rightarrow [0, \infty)$

$$T(\mu, \zeta) = \min_{t \in [0, 1]} (\mu(t) - \zeta(t))^2$$

Then, (R, σ, τ) is a complete controlled metric space with $\sigma(\mu, \zeta) = \sigma(\zeta, \omega) = 2$

consider the integral equations:

$$\mu(t) = \int_0^1 K_1(t, s, \mu(s)) ds + g(t) \quad (8)$$

$$\mu(t) = \int_0^1 K_2(t, s, \mu(s)) ds + g(t) \quad (9)$$

for $t \in [0, 1]$, where $\mu, g, h \in \mathbb{R}$

suppose that $K_1, K_2: [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are such that $\vartheta\mu(t), \theta\mu(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, for each $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$, where:

$$\vartheta\mu(t) = \int_0^1 K_1(t, s, \mu(s)) ds$$

and

$$\vartheta\mu(t) = \int_0^1 K_2(t, s, \mu(s)) ds$$

For all $t \in [0, 1]$. If $\exists a, b, c: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that these assertions hold:

- $a(\vartheta\mu + g(t)) \leq a(\mu)$, $b(\vartheta\mu + g(t)) \leq b(\mu)$ and $c(\vartheta\mu + g(t)) \leq c(\mu)$
- $a(\theta\mu + g(t)) \leq a(\mu)$, $b(\theta\mu + g(t)) \leq b(\mu)$ and $c(\theta\mu + g(t)) \leq c(\mu)$
- $(a+b+c)(\mu) < 1$
- $\| \vartheta\mu(t) - \theta\zeta(t) + g(t) - h(t) \|^2 \leq a(\mu) N_1(\mu, \zeta)(t) + b(\mu) N_2(\mu, \zeta)(t) + c(\mu) N_3(\mu, \zeta)(t)$

$\forall \mu, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}$, where:

$$N_1(\mu, \zeta)(t) = \| \mu(t) - \zeta(t) \|^2$$

$$N_2(\mu, \zeta)(t) = \| \vartheta\mu(t) - \theta\zeta(t) - \mu(t) \|^2 / \| \theta\zeta(t) + h(t) - \zeta(t) \|^2 /$$

$$1 + \| \mu(t) - \zeta(t) \|^2$$

$$N_3(\mu, \zeta)(t) = \| \vartheta\mu(t) - \theta\zeta(t) - \mu(t) \|^2 / \| \mu(t) - \zeta(t) \|^2$$

Consequently, there is only one common solution to the system of integral equations (8) and (9).

Proof. Define $V, U: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$V\mu = \vartheta\mu + g$$

And

$$U\mu = \theta\mu + h.$$

$$T(V\mu, U\mu) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (\| \vartheta\mu(t) - \theta\zeta(t) + g(t) - h(t) \|^2)$$

$$T(\mu, V\mu) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (\| \vartheta\mu(t) + g(t) - \mu(t) \|^2)$$

$$T(\zeta, U\zeta) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} (\| \theta\zeta(t) + h(t) - \zeta(t) \|^2).$$

It is easy to demonstrate that:

- $\alpha(V\mu) \leq \alpha(\mu)$, $\beta(V\mu) \leq \beta(\mu)$ and $\gamma(V\mu) \leq \gamma(\mu)$
- $\alpha(U\mu) \leq \alpha(\mu)$, $\beta(U\mu) \leq \beta(\mu)$ and $\gamma(U\mu) \leq \gamma(\mu)$
- $(\alpha + \beta + \gamma)(\mu) < 1$;

$$\bullet \quad T(V\mu, U\mu) \leq \alpha(\mu)T(\mu, \zeta) + \beta \frac{T(\mu, V\mu)T(\zeta, U\zeta)}{1+T(\mu, \zeta)} + \gamma(\mu) \frac{T(\mu, V\mu)^2}{T(\mu, \zeta)}$$

$\forall \mu, \zeta \in r$. Therefore, we can conclude that V and U share a fixed point by applying Theorem 4. As a result, there is a single point $\mu \in R$ where $\mu = V\mu = U\mu$. We now have:

$$\mu = V\mu = \vartheta_{\mu} + g$$

along with $\mu = U\mu = \theta_{\mu} + h$

That's:

$$\mu(t) = \int_0^1 K_1(t, s, \mu(s)) ds$$

$$\mu(t) = \int_0^1 K_2(t, s, \mu(s)) ds$$

As a result, we can say that there is only one common solution to the integral equations (8) and (9).

Conclusions

We summarize our conclusions as follows:

1. To generalize the main result of Mlaiki *et al.* [3], we defined a new contractive condition in a controlled metric space by employing two control functions $\alpha, \beta : R \rightarrow [0, 1)$ to the right-hand side of the inequality. Moreover, we have used a certain rational expression in the contractive condition;
2. We have taken two self-mappings instead of one self-mapping in the contractive condition of our main results;
3. We also examined integral equations as a way to apply our primary findings.

Upcoming Projects

Future research in this field will concentrate on the fixed points of fuzzy and multi-valued mappings in controlled metric spaces, with applications to fractional differential inclusion problems.

References

1. Czerwik S. Contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. *Acta Math Inform Univ Ostra.* 1993;1:5-11.
2. Kamran T, Samreen M, Ain QU. A generalization of b-metric space and some fixed point theorems. *Mathematics.* 2017;5:19. doi:[CrossRef]
3. Mlaiki N, Aydi H, Souayah N, Abdeljawad T. Controlled metric type spaces and the related contraction principle. *Mathematics.* 2018;6:194. doi:[CrossRef]
4. Lateef D. Kannan fixed point theorem in c-metric spaces. *J Math Anal.* 2019;10:34-40.
5. Kannan R. Some results on fixed points. *Bull Calcutta Math Soc.* 1968;60:71-76.
6. Ahmad J, Al-Mazrooei AE, Aydi H, DelaSen M. On fixed point results in controlled metric spaces. *J Funct.* 2020;2020:2108167. doi:[CrossRef]
7. Abuloha M, Rizk D, Abodayeh K, Mlaiki N, Abdeljawad T. New results in controlled metric type spaces. *J Math.* 2021;2021:5575512. doi:[CrossRef]
8. Alamgir N, Kiran Q, Is, ik H, Aydi H. Fixed point results via a Hausdorff controlled type metric. *Adv Differ.* 2020;2020:24. doi:[CrossRef]
9. Abdeljawad T, Mlaiki N, Aydi H, Souayah N. Double controlled metric type spaces and some fixed point results. *Mathematics.* 2018;6:320. doi:[CrossRef]
10. Lateef D. Fisher type fixed point results in controlled metric spaces. *J Math Comput Sci.* 2020;20:234-240. doi:[CrossRef]
11. Shoaib A, Kumam P, Alshoraify SS, Arshad M. Fixed point results in double controlled quasi metric type spaces. *AIMS Math.* 2021;6:1851-1864. doi:[CrossRef]
12. Mlaiki N, Souayah N, Abdeljawad T, Aydi H. A new extension to the controlled metric type spaces endowed with a graph. *Adv Differ Equ.* 2021;2021:94. doi:[CrossRef]
13. Shatanawi W, Mlaiki N, Rizk N, Onunwor E. Fredholm-type integral equation in controlled metric-like spaces. *Adv Differ Equ.* 2021;2021:358. doi:[CrossRef]
14. Sezen MS. Controlled fuzzy metric spaces and some related fixed point results. *Numer Methods Partial Differ Equ.* 2021;37:583-593. doi:[CrossRef]
15. Tasneem S, Gopalani K, Abdeljawad T. A different approach to fixed point theorems on triple controlled metric type spaces with a numerical experiment. *Dyn Syst Appl.* 2021;30:111-130.
16. Javed K, Uddin F, Is, ik H, Al-Shami TM, Adeel F, Arshad M. Some new aspects of metric fixed point theory. *Adv Math Phys.* 2021;2021:9839311. doi:[CrossRef]
17. Kalsoom A, Saleem N, Is, ik H, Al-Shami TM, Bibi A, Khan H. Fixed point approximation of monotone nonexpansive mappings in hyperbolic spaces. *J Funct Spaces.* 2021;2021:3243020. doi:[CrossRef]