Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research

Confronting HIV/AIDS Stigma in Asia: Unmasking Social Barriers, Psychological Impacts, and Integrated Pathways Forward

Mohammed Nadeem Ansari
Public Health Consultant, India

* Corresponding Author: **Mohammed Nadeem Ansari**

Article Info

E-ISSN: 3050-9726
P-ISSN: 3050-9718
Volume: 01
Issue: 01
January-June 2020
Received: 02-04-2020
Accepted: 03-05-2020
Published: 09-06-2020
Page No: 32-37

Abstract

The ongoing HIV/AIDS epidemic poses multifaceted challenges, particularly in Asia where entrenched stigma exacerbates both physical and mental health burdens among individuals living with HIV (PLHIV). Despite significant strides in public health efforts, negative perceptions surrounding the illness remain pervasive, influencing everything from diagnosis to long-term treatment outcomes. This review explores the layered nature of HIV-related stigma across Asia and its ramifications for healthcare access, psychosocial well-being, and treatment continuity. Through a thematic analysis of regional literature, the study dissects the roots and ripple effects of stigmatization, presenting a multidimensional framework for intervention strategies. It concludes by highlighting a pressing need for stigma-targeted education, community collaboration, and policy-level reforms to foster inclusive and supportive environments for PLHIV.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.54660/.IJFMR.2020.1.1.32-37

Keywords: HIV/AIDS, social stigma, Asia, mental health, antiretroviral therapy, healthcare equity, public perception, psychosocial resilience

Introduction

Globally, HIV/AIDS continues to pose one of the most significant public health challenges of the 21st century. Despite advancements in diagnostics, antiretroviral therapy (ART), and global awareness, the epidemic persists, disproportionately affecting marginalized populations and straining healthcare systems worldwide. As of 2019, over 38 million people were estimated to be living with HIV, according to the World Health Organization (WHO), with the majority residing in low- and middle-income countries (UNAIDS, 2020) [1]. Among these, the Asia-Pacific region accounts for a substantial portion of the global burden, with an estimated 7.6 million people living with HIV (PLHIV) (UNAIDS, 2020) [1].

Asia's HIV epidemic is characterized not only by its epidemiological footprint but also by the complex interplay of cultural stigma, systemic discrimination, and social marginalization. Deep-rooted sociocultural norms, misinformation, and structural inequities contribute to a persistent environment of stigma that hinders prevention, care, and treatment adherence (Liao *et al.*, 2015; Kalra *et al.*, 2020) [4, 8]. For many individuals across countries such as India, Indonesia, China, and the Philippines, the risk of being ostracized, denied employment or healthcare, or experiencing violence after disclosure of their HIV status remains a harsh reality (Nyblade *et al.*, 2009; Mahamboro *et al.*, 2020) [10, 7].

The psychological consequences of such stigma are profound. Research consistently indicates that stigma is a key determinant of mental health outcomes among PLHIV, leading to increased rates of depression, anxiety, and suicidal ideation (Logie *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2016) [11, 4]. Moreover, stigma contributes to concealment of status, delays in testing and treatment, and reduced ART adherence, ultimately undermining public health goals aimed at curbing the epidemic (Chakrapani *et al.*, 2011; UNAIDS, 2020) [3, 1].

This review critically examines the nature of HIV-related stigma in Asia, its socio-cultural underpinnings, psychological consequences, and the systemic barriers it reinforces. Through an exploration of adaptive responses among PLHIV and evidence-based strategies for destigmatization, the paper aims to provide a holistic understanding of how stigma manifests and persists, and what can be done to dismantle it within the region's diverse contexts.

Understanding the Nature of HIV Stigma in Asia

The stigma surrounding HIV/AIDS in Asia is both pervasive and multifaceted, rooted in deep-seated cultural beliefs, moral judgments, and misinformation. Unlike some Western contexts where biomedical understanding of HIV has somewhat normalized the disease, many Asian societies continue to associate HIV with behaviors considered deviant or immoral, such as extramarital sex, sex work, same-sex relations, and drug use (Chakrapani *et al*., 2011; Steward *et al*., 2008) [3, 17]. As a result, PLHIV are often viewed not as individuals in need of care but as moral transgressors deserving of punishment or exclusion (Liao *et al*., 2015; Li Z *et al*., 2018) [4].

In India, for example, HIV stigma is often intensified by caste, gender, and class dynamics, leading to what scholars term "layered stigma" or intersectional discrimination (Chakrapani *et al*., 2011) [3]. Women living with HIV may be blamed for introducing the virus into their families, even when they contracted it from their husbands (Steward *et al*., 2008) [17]. Transgender individuals and men who have sex with men (MSM) face dual stigmas: one associated with their sexual or gender identity and another related to HIV status, resulting in compounded social exclusion and denial of services (Kalra *et al*., 2020) [8]. In Indonesia and Malaysia, laws criminalizing same-sex behavior and drug use further entrench institutional stigma, discouraging these populations from seeking timely testing or treatment (UNAIDS, 2020; Mahamboro *et al*., 2020) [1, 7].

The stigma also manifests in structural and healthcare settings, creating barriers to accessing medical care. Studies have documented that healthcare workers in several Asian countries sometimes refuse to treat PLHIV or violate their confidentiality, fearing transmission or acting on moral bias (Nyblade *et al*., 2009; Kalra *et al*., 2020) [10, 8]. Such behavior not only violates medical ethics but reinforces PLHIV's reluctance to engage with formal healthcare systems. In rural and urban India, for instance, PLHIV have reported being denied treatment, verbally abused, or isolated within hospital settings (Chakrapani *et al*., 2011; Logie *et al*., 2016) [3, 11].

Psychologically, the internalization of stigma—also known as self-stigma—has been shown to have detrimental effects on the mental health of PLHIV. Internalized stigma leads to shame, self-blame, and feelings of worthlessness, contributing to depression, substance use, and even suicidal ideation (Zhang *et al*., 2016; Logie *et al*., 2016) [4, 11]. In a study conducted in Yunnan Province, China, high levels of internalized stigma were significantly associated with decreased self-efficacy and increased psychological distress among PLHIV (Li Z *et al*., 2018). Similarly, in Tamil Nadu, India, PLHIV experiencing high levels of perceived and enacted stigma were more likely to report mental health symptoms and reduced adherence to ART regimens (Chakrapani *et al*., 2011) [3].

The persistence of stigma is also fueled by inadequate or misleading HIV education. In many Asian educational systems, HIV is either omitted from the curriculum or discussed in fear-based, moralistic terms that reinforce stereotypes and misconceptions (Zhang *et al*., 2016; UNAIDS, 2020) [1, 4]. As a result, myths about HIV transmission—such as contracting the virus through casual contact, shared utensils, or public spaces—remain widespread, perpetuating fear and avoidance of PLHIV (Liao *et al*., 2015; Mahamboro *et al*., 2020) [4, 7].

Thus, the stigma surrounding HIV in Asia is not a singular phenomenon but a complex web of cultural, institutional, and interpersonal factors. Addressing it requires an intersectional and multi-level approach that engages not only healthcare systems but also legal frameworks, community structures, and public consciousness.

Sociocultural and Structural Determinants of Stigma

A variety of sociocultural and structural factors contribute to the persistence and entrenchment of HIV stigma in Asia. These factors are not only complex but often reinforce each other in a way that perpetuates discrimination and marginalization.

Cultural Norms and Collective Identity

Across many Asian cultures, collectivist values and strict adherence to traditional family norms shape societal attitudes toward illness, sexuality, and moral behavior. The emphasis on conformity, family honor, and social harmony can result in heightened stigmatization of individuals whose behaviors or identities diverge from societal expectations (Li *et al*., 2017). HIV/AIDS, often associated with behaviors deemed immoral—such as premarital sex, same-sex relationships, sex work, or injection drug use—is thus interpreted not only as a medical condition but also as a moral failing (Chakrapani *et al*., 2011; Liu *et al*., 2006) [3, 6].

In collectivist societies such as China, India, and Indonesia, PLHIV may experience social rejection not only as individuals but also on behalf of their families, who fear being ostracized by the community (Liu *et al*., 2006; Li *et al*., 2017) [6]. This fear of social reprisal contributes to the concealment of HIV status, avoidance of testing, and reluctance to seek care—factors that significantly impede public health efforts (Mahamboro *et al*., 2020) [7]. Furthermore, the linkage of HIV with "dishonorable" behavior disrupts family dynamics and can lead to abandonment or coerced isolation of affected individuals (Kalra *et al*., 2020) [8].

Gender Inequities and the Feminization of HIV Stigma

Gender inequality is a potent structural determinant that exacerbates the burden of HIV stigma, especially for women in patriarchal contexts. In many Asian societies, women's health and sexuality remain highly regulated, and deviations from prescribed gender roles often result in punitive responses (Jeyaseelan *et al*., 2013; Liu *et al*., 2006) [16, 6]. Women living with HIV (WLHIV) frequently encounter compounded stigma—being blamed for their diagnosis even when infected by their husbands, and subsequently facing rejection by in-laws or loss of custody of children (Jeyaseelan *et al*., 2013) [16].

Evidence from South and Southeast Asia demonstrates that WLHIV are disproportionately subjected to domestic violence, verbal abuse, and forced sterilization as a result of their diagnosis (Liu *et al*., 2006; Mahamboro *et al*., 2020) [6, 7]. Gendered expectations about chastity, motherhood, and caregiving further alienate WLHIV, who are often perceived as having violated the social contract of "ideal womanhood" (Steward *et al*., 2008) [17]. These dynamics not only deepen psychological distress but also obstruct access to care and support services, further isolating an already vulnerable population (Kalra *et al*., 2020) [8].

Economic Disparities and Structural Vulnerability

Poverty and economic inequality are inextricably linked with HIV stigma in many Asian settings. PLHIV from low-income communities are often doubly burdened by economic marginalization and social exclusion. Limited access to healthcare, education, and social protections compounds their vulnerability, making it more difficult to challenge stigma or seek redress against discrimination (Wang *et al*., 2019) [12].

In resource-constrained environments, PLHIV may face choices between disclosing their status and risking job loss or remaining silent while forgoing treatment—decisions that have profound implications for health outcomes (Mahamboro *et al*., 2020) [7]. In countries where formal labor protections are weak or absent, fear of workplace discrimination leads many PLHIV to hide their diagnosis, skip appointments, or avoid ART altogether (Li Z *et al*., 2018; Kalra *et al*., 2020) [8]. The absence of universal health coverage or targeted support programs also means that PLHIV in poverty are often forced to rely on informal or under-resourced care networks, further entrenching health disparities (UNAIDS, 2020) [1].

Moreover, structural violence—defined as the systematic ways in which social structures harm or disadvantage individuals—operates in tandem with stigma, creating feedback loops that trap PLHIV in cycles of invisibility, exclusion, and health deterioration (Farmer, 2003; Chakrapani *et al*., 2011) [3]. Without targeted policy interventions to address these social determinants, efforts to reduce HIV stigma may remain superficial or unsustainable.

Healthcare Avoidance and Barriers to Care

Stigmatization continues to serve as a profound barrier to healthcare access among people living with HIV (PLHIV), particularly in Asian contexts where entrenched sociocultural norms and limited public awareness amplify the fear of judgment. The anticipation of being labeled as HIV-positive, coupled with the potential for derogatory treatment by healthcare personnel, often leads individuals to avoid healthcare facilities altogether (Nyblade *et al*., 2009) [10]. This avoidance behavior results in delayed testing and diagnosis, hindering early intervention efforts and the timely initiation of antiretroviral therapy (ART). Discrimination within clinical settings—manifesting in the form of verbal abuse, refusal of services, or breach of confidentiality—has been widely documented and remains a major deterrent to care-seeking behaviors (Kalra *et al*., 2020) [8]. As a result, many PLHIV choose not to disclose their status, further isolating themselves from essential health services and community support. Studies have shown that this healthcare disengagement contributes to poor ART adherence and retention, thereby increasing the risk of disease progression, opportunistic infections, and HIV transmission (Nyblade *et al*., 2009; Kalra *et al*., 2020) [10, 8]. Reducing stigma at the point of care, through healthcare worker training and community education, is therefore crucial for improving the health-seeking behaviors of PLHIV.

ART Adherence and Mental Health Dynamics

Effective adherence to ART is foundational to the clinical management of HIV, as it enables viral suppression and significantly improves long-term health outcomes. However, stigma—both perceived and internalized—remains a formidable psychological and behavioral barrier to adherence. Psychological distress arising from stigma often includes anxiety, depression, self-blame, and fear of social ostracism, all of which are correlated with non-adherence (Zhang *et al*., 2016) [9]. In many cases, individuals deliberately skip doses or discontinue therapy to avoid revealing their HIV status, particularly in household or communal settings where privacy is limited. Studies from India, China, and Malaysia underscore how internalized stigma contributes to mental health deterioration, which in turn negatively affects motivation and capacity to maintain consistent ART use (Mahamboro *et al*., 2020; Logie *et al*., 2016) [7, 11]. Moreover, mental health issues such as depression are not only outcomes of stigma but also drivers of poor ART adherence, forming a self-perpetuating cycle (Zhang *et al*., 2016) [9]. This dual burden—psychosocial distress and treatment non-compliance—suggests a need for integrated HIV care models that incorporate mental health counseling, stigma reduction programs, and peer support initiatives to improve adherence outcomes.

The Psychological Toll of Stigma

Beyond clinical outcomes, HIV-related stigma has severe and lasting effects on psychological well-being. Many PLHIV report feelings of shame, hopelessness, and even suicidal ideation—experiences that are often intensified by isolation from family, community, or religious institutions (Steward *et al*., 2008) [17]. This psychological toll is particularly acute among marginalized groups such as sex workers, LGBTQ+ individuals, and adolescents, who not only face HIV-related stigma but also encounter discrimination based on their gender identity, sexual orientation, or profession (Mahamboro *et al*., 2020) [7]. Research from countries including Vietnam, Indonesia, and Bangladesh reveals that the cumulative effect of these intersecting stigmas significantly exacerbates mental health burdens and reduces access to appropriate psychological care (Steward *et al*., 2008; Mahamboro *et al*., 2020) [17, 7]. Internalized stigma, in particular, leads many individuals to disengage from social networks and health services, reinforcing a cycle of psychological distress and deteriorating physical health (Logie *et al*., 2016) [11]. In order to mitigate these effects, stigma-reduction interventions must be tailored to address the unique social and psychological challenges faced by high-risk groups and should be embedded into broader public health and mental health strategies.

Coping Mechanisms and Resilience Pathways

Despite the pervasive weight of stigma, many people living with HIV (PLHIV) demonstrate remarkable resilience and adopt adaptive coping strategies that help preserve their mental health and reclaim control over their lives. These resilience pathways are not just survival mechanisms—they often represent a powerful form of resistance against societal marginalization.

Community-Based Support Networks: These networks, often organized by NGOs, peer groups, or civil society organizations, provide safe, affirming environments where PLHIV can engage with others who share similar lived experiences. The presence of empathetic peer support has been linked to reduced psychological distress, improved antiretroviral therapy (ART) adherence, and enhanced self-esteem (Kalra *et al*., 2020; Logie *et al*., 2016) [8, 11]. In India, community-based programs have proven particularly effective among high-risk groups such as sex workers and men who have sex with men (MSM), providing both psychosocial support and access to critical health resources (Chakrapani *et al*., 2011) [3].

Faith and Spirituality: constitute another significant pillar of resilience for many PLHIV, particularly in highly religious societies across South and Southeast Asia. Religious beliefs often help individuals interpret their diagnosis through a lens of meaning-making, acceptance, and hope, thereby alleviating the internalized stigma associated with HIV (Mahamboro *et al*., 2020; Steward *et al*., 2008) [7, 17]. In Indonesia and Bangladesh, for instance, PLHIV who engaged in spiritual practices reported lower levels of depression and a greater sense of existential purpose (Mahamboro *et al*., 2020) [7]. Faith communities, when inclusive and non-judgmental, can serve as important sources of emotional strength and communal acceptance, though this is contingent upon the absence of doctrinal stigma (Liao *et al*., 2015) [4].

Selective Disclosure: The calculated decision to reveal one's HIV status only to trusted individuals—enables PLHIV to balance the need for social support with the imperative to avoid discrimination. This strategy allows individuals to navigate hostile environments more safely while maintaining access to emotional and practical support (Liao *et al*., 2015; Zhang *et al*., 2016) [4, 9]. Studies in India and China have demonstrated that individuals who disclosed their status selectively were more likely to maintain ART adherence and experience reduced psychological burden than those who concealed their diagnosis entirely (Kalra *et al*., 2020; Mahamboro *et al*., 2020) [8, 7].

Self-Advocacy and Activism: Offer PLHIV opportunities to transform their lived experiences into tools for social change. Through involvement in HIV advocacy, public education, and peer-led outreach, individuals are not only able to influence community perceptions but also to regain a sense of agency and empowerment (Chakrapani *et al*., 2011; Kalra *et al*., 2020) [3, 8]. In several countries, such as Nepal, Thailand, and India, PLHIV-led organizations have played a critical role in shaping national HIV strategies, influencing public health messaging, and holding institutions accountable for discriminatory practices (UNAIDS, 2020; Logie *et al*., 2016) [1, 11].

Together, these coping mechanisms reflect both the individual and collective resilience of PLHIV communities, highlighting the need for interventions that build on existing strengths rather than imposing external frameworks.

Legal and Policy Barriers to Structural Change

While individual and community-level strategies help manage the psychosocial effects of stigma, they are insufficient in the absence of robust legal and policy frameworks that address structural discrimination. Across Asia, the persistence of punitive laws and weak enforcement of protective statutes continues to institutionalize stigma and deter PLHIV from seeking care, asserting rights, or living openly (UNAIDS, 2020; Logie *et al*., 2016) [1, 11].

A key barrier is the criminalization of behaviors commonly associated with HIV risk, including same-sex relationships, sex work, and drug use. These legal frameworks not only marginalize already vulnerable populations but also foster an environment of fear and secrecy. For instance, in many countries across South and Southeast Asia, laws targeting LGBTQ+ individuals drive them away from healthcare systems and into informal, and often unsafe, channels of support (UNAIDS, 2020; Mahamboro *et al*., 2020) [1, 7]. This not only undermines HIV prevention strategies but also compounds psychological stress among marginalized communities.

Even in jurisdictions where protective laws exist—such as anti-discrimination policies in healthcare or employment—their implementation is often undermined by lack of awareness, bureaucratic inertia, or active resistance from institutions. Health workers, law enforcement personnel, and even judicial officers may be unaware of or unwilling to uphold these rights, perpetuating cycles of exclusion and abuse (Kalra *et al*., 2020; Nyblade *et al*., 2009) [8, 10]. The disconnect between policy and practice renders legal safeguards symbolic rather than functional.

Another legal challenge is the inconsistent application of privacy and confidentiality laws. Breaches of patient confidentiality—whether through gossip, forced disclosure, or negligent record-keeping—remain common, especially in rural and resource-poor settings. Such violations not only deter individuals from seeking testing or treatment but also reinforce distrust in the healthcare system (Liao *et al*., 2015; UNAIDS, 2020) [4, 1].

Furthermore, structural stigma is perpetuated by the lack of legal literacy among PLHIV, many of whom are unaware of their rights or unable to access legal recourse due to poverty, illiteracy, or geographic isolation. Without meaningful access to justice, legal protections remain abstract, and stigma continues to operate unchecked (Logie *et al*., 2016) [11].

To address these challenges, legal reforms must be coupled with public education, professional training, and community empowerment strategies. Merely enacting laws is not enough; sustained efforts are required to transform the institutional cultures that uphold stigma and exclusion.

Inconsistent legal protections and punitive laws continue to impede progress. Legislation criminalizing same-sex relationships and drug use creates institutional stigma, directly clashing with HIV prevention goals. Even in countries with protective laws, weak enforcement and social resistance hinder effective implementation (UNAIDS, 2020) [1].

Evidence-Based Interventions for DE stigmatization

Promising strategies for reducing stigma include:

- **GIPA-Based Campaigns:** Programs guided by the Greater Involvement of People Living with HIV/AIDS principle ensure authenticity and foster community trust (Kalra *et al*., 2020) [8].
- **Provider Sensitization:** Training healthcare workers in empathy, non-discrimination, and cultural competence is essential (Nyblade *et al*., 2009) [10].
- **Mass Media Engagement:** Culturally resonant stories can reshape public perceptions and normalize HIV discourse (UNAIDS, 2020) [1].
- **School-Based Curricula:** Introducing accurate, age-appropriate HIV education at early stages can counter misinformation and promote tolerance (Zhang *et al*., 2016) [9].

Gaps in Literature and Future Research Directions

Despite growing attention to HIV-related stigma in Asia, critical research gaps remain. Longitudinal studies examining the sustained psychological impact of stigma are limited, with most existing literature offering only cross-sectional insights (Kalra *et al*., 2020) [8]. Intersectional stigma—particularly involving caste, religion, gender identity, and socioeconomic status—remains underexplored, despite its compounding effects (Logie *et al*., 2016; Liu *et al*., 2006) [11, 6]. Rural and marginalized populations are also insufficiently represented, leading to a skewed understanding of stigma's scope (Mahamboro *et al*., 2020; Zhang *et al*., 2016) [7, 9]. Addressing these gaps is essential for developing inclusive, data-driven interventions.

Policy Recommendations

Addressing HIV-related stigma in Asia requires multifaceted policy reforms that prioritize human rights, health equity, and community involvement. The following strategies are recommended:

- **Anti-Discrimination Laws:** Governments must enforce protective laws in employment, healthcare, and education settings, and repeal punitive laws that marginalize vulnerable groups (UNAIDS, 2020; Logie *et al*., 2016) [1, 11].
- **Increased Funding:** Financial support for stigma-reduction initiatives—especially those rooted in communities—is critical for long-term impact (Kalra *et al*., 2020) [8].
- **Monitoring and Accountability:** Regular assessments of stigma levels and program effectiveness are essential. Governments must track enforcement efforts and hold institutions accountable (Mahamboro *et al*., 2020) [7].

Conclusion

Confronting HIV-related stigma in Asia requires a multifaceted approach that combines cultural transformation, systemic reform, and targeted interventions. The region’s diverse sociocultural landscapes demand context-specific, culturally sensitive solutions that address issues such as gender inequality, economic disparity, and healthcare access. Structural reforms—such as anti-discrimination laws, improved healthcare services, and public awareness—are essential to dismantling the barriers that perpetuate stigma. Interventions must also address the psychosocial dimensions of stigma, ensuring that PLHIV have access to mental health support, community networks, and empowerment opportunities. Long-term, collaborative efforts across sectors are necessary to create inclusive environments where PLHIV are supported and accepted. The fight against stigma is not only a public health priority—it is a moral imperative.

Funding Acknowledgment

This study was conducted independently and did not receive financial support from any governmental or non-governmental organizations.

Conflict of Interest Statement

The authors declare no conflict of interest related to this manuscript.

Reference

1. UNAIDS. Global AIDS Update 2020.
2. https://www.unaids.org/en/resources/documents/2020/global-aids-report
3. Chakrapani V, Newman PA, Shunmugam M, Logie CH, Samuel M. Syndemic contexts: sexual risk behavior, mental health, and HIV-related stigma among men who have sex with men in South India. AIDS and Behavior. 2011;15(2):317–326.
4. Liao M, Kang D, Jiang B, Xiao Y, Bi Z, Liu X. Association of stigma and social support with mental health among people living with HIV/AIDS in China: a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health. 2015;15:857.
5. Li Z, Morano JP, Khoshnood K, Hsieh E, Sheng Y. HIV-related stigma among people living with HIV/AIDS in rural Central China. BMC Health Services Research. 2018;18:745.
6. Liu H, Hu Z, Li X, Stanton B, Naar-King S, Yang H. Understanding interrelationships among HIV-related stigma, concern about HIV infection, and intent to disclose HIV serostatus: a prelude to HIV prevention and care. AIDS Patient Care and STDs. 2006;20(2):98–105.
7. Mahamboro DB, Fauk NK, Ward PR, Merry MS, Siri TA. HIV stigma and moral judgment: qualitative exploration of the experiences of HIV stigma and discrimination among married men living with HIV in Yogyakarta, Indonesia. BMC International Health and Human Rights. 2020;20:4.
8. Kalra G, Shah N, Ventriglio A, Bhugra D. Cultural aspects of stigma and mental illness. International Review of Psychiatry. 2020;32(6):533–537.
9. Zhang C, Li X, Liu Y, Qiao S, Zhang L, Zhou Y. Stigma against people living with HIV/AIDS in China: does the route of infection matter? PLOS ONE. 2016;11(3):e0151078.
10. Nyblade L, Stangl A, Weiss E, Ashburn K. Combating HIV stigma in health care settings: what works? Journal of the International AIDS Society. 2009;12:15.
11. Logie CH, James L, Tharao W, Loutfy MR. HIV-related stigma and HIV prevention among women living with HIV in Canada: a qualitative study. Journal of the International AIDS Society. 2016;19(1):17392.
12. Wang Y, Li B, Zheng Y, Kang C, Qiao S, Li Y, *et al*. Factors associated with mental health among people living with HIV in China: a systematic review. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health. 2019;16(8):1412.
13. Kang E, Rapkin BD, Remien RH, Mellins CA, Oh A. Multiple dimensions of HIV stigma and psychological distress among Asians and Pacific Islanders living with HIV illness. AIDS and Behavior. 2005;9(2):145–154.
14. Turan B, Budhwani H, Fazeli PL, Browning WR, Raper JL, Mugavero MJ, *et al*. How does stigma affect people living with HIV? The mediating roles of internalized and anticipated HIV stigma in the effects of perceived community stigma on health and psychosocial outcomes. AIDS and Behavior. 2017;21(1):283–291.
15. Chao LW, Gow J, Akintola G, Pauly M. HIV/AIDS stigma attitudes among educators in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. Journal of School Health. 2010;80(11):561–569.
16. Jeyaseelan L, Kumar S, Mohanraj R, Rebekah G, Rao D, Manhart LE. Assessing HIV/AIDS stigma in South India: validation and abridgement of the Berger HIV Stigma Scale. AIDS and Behavior. 2013;17(2):434–443.
17. Steward WT, Bharat S, Ramakrishna J, Heylen E, Ekstrand ML. “Depression is not an inevitable outcome of stigma”: HIV stigma and psychosocial well-being among people living with HIV/AIDS in Chennai, India.

Social Science & Medicine. 2008;67(8):1228–1239.

18. Li L, Lee SJ, Thammawijaya P, Jiraphongsa C, Rotheram-Borus MJ. Stigma, social support, and quality of life among people living with HIV in Thailand. AIDS Care. 2009;21(7):848–856.
19. Li L, Wu Z, Wu S, Sun S, Yang C, Rou K, Yan Z, Detels R. HIV-related stigma in rural communities in China: potential influences on HIV testing and prevention efforts. Biomedical and Environmental Sciences. 2009;22(6):483–489.
20. Steward WT, Ekstrand ML, Strings O, Chandy S, Singh G, Solomon SS. Should I tell my husband? Issues of disclosure among men and women with HIV infection in South India: implications for gendered and social network approaches to voluntary disclosure. AIDS and Behavior. 2011;15(6):1221–1232.