



Journal of Frontiers in Multidisciplinary Research

The social impact of mega sporting events on host cities

Dr. Olivia Smith

School of Exercise and Nutrition Sciences, Deakin University, Australia

* Corresponding Author: **Dr. Olivia Smith**

Article Info

E-ISSN: 3050-9726

P-ISSN: 3050-9718

Volume: 05

Issue: 01

January-June 2024

Received: 20-12-2023;

Accepted: 28-01-2024

Published: 08-02-2024

Page No: 05-07

Abstract

Mega sporting events such as the Olympics, FIFA World Cup, and Super Bowl are significant undertakings for host cities, often bringing substantial economic benefits, enhanced international visibility, and the opportunity for urban development. However, these events also come with social implications, including displacement, inequality, and potential disruptions to local communities. This paper examines the social impacts of mega sporting events on host cities, analyzing both positive and negative consequences. Drawing on case studies from past events, this study explores how local communities are affected by these large-scale sporting spectacles and considers the long-term legacy of hosting such events.

Keywords: Mega sporting events, host cities, social impact, urban development, displacement, inequality, legacy

Introduction

Hosting mega sporting events is often seen as an opportunity for cities to showcase their cultural heritage, boost local economies, and improve urban infrastructure. Events like the Summer Olympics, World Cup, and other major international competitions provide a platform for a city to increase its global profile and attract international tourists and investors. However, beyond the economic and infrastructural benefits, these events can have significant social impacts on host cities. The challenges faced by local communities—including displacement, gentrification, social inequality, and disruption to daily life—are often overlooked in the rush to secure such events.

This paper explores the social impact of mega sporting events on host cities, focusing on both the positive and negative effects on local populations. By analyzing case studies from various global sporting events, this research provides insights into the broader societal consequences of hosting these spectacles and offers a critical evaluation of the long-term legacy for host communities.

Literature Review

1. Positive Social Impacts

Proponents of hosting mega sporting events argue that these events foster a sense of national pride and community unity. They can also contribute to social cohesion by providing shared experiences for diverse populations (Baade & Matheson, 2016). Additionally, mega events often promote volunteerism and community engagement, as local residents participate in organizing and assisting with the event (Chalip, 2006). The construction of sports venues, improved transportation infrastructure, and urban regeneration projects are also seen as key benefits for the host cities (Preuss, 2007).

2. Negative Social Impacts

While the short-term economic benefits of hosting mega sporting events are often highlighted, critics argue that these events can lead to social displacement and increased inequality. In many cases, the construction of stadiums and other infrastructure requires the displacement of low-income communities (Kassens-Noor, 2012). Furthermore, the gentrification associated with urban

development projects can result in higher housing costs and the displacement of long-standing residents (Coakley, 2011). Additionally, the influx of tourists and the heightened demand for public services during the event can strain local resources and disrupt daily life (Gaffney, 2013).

3. Social Inequality and Public Spending

Another critical concern is the allocation of public funds for the construction and maintenance of mega-event infrastructure. In many cases, the costs of hosting such events are borne by taxpayers, while the benefits are often disproportionately enjoyed by private investors and multinational corporations (Matheson & Baade, 2011). The limited direct benefits to local residents, particularly marginalized communities, raise ethical questions about the fairness of spending large amounts of public money on these events (Broudehoux, 2007).

Long-Term Legacy and Community Impact

The long-term social legacy of hosting mega sporting events is often debated. Some cities experience lasting improvements in public health, urban infrastructure, and cultural exchange, while others find that the benefits are short-lived, and the initial enthusiasm dissipates after the event (Preuss, 2007). The long-term impact on local communities depends on how the event is planned and managed, as well as the extent to which local residents are involved in decision-making processes.

Materials and Methods

1. Research Design

This research uses a qualitative approach to examine the social impact of mega sporting events on host cities. The study draws on case studies from cities that have hosted major events, such as the Olympics, FIFA World Cup, and World Expo. A comparative analysis is conducted to highlight the differences in social impacts across different geographical regions and event types.

2. Data Collection

Data was collected from academic journals, government reports, and case studies from host cities that have recently hosted major sporting events. Key sources include reports from the International Olympic Committee (IOC), FIFA, and the UN Habitat Program. Additional data was obtained from interviews with local residents, community organizations, and urban planners involved in the events.

3. Analysis

The data was analyzed thematically to identify recurring patterns and trends related to the social impacts of mega sporting events. Both positive and negative social consequences were examined, with a particular focus on issues of displacement, gentrification, social cohesion, and the long-term legacy of the event.

Results

1. Urban Development and Gentrification

One of the most significant social impacts of hosting mega sporting events is urban development and gentrification. In cities like Rio de Janeiro (2016 Olympics) and London (2012 Olympics), large-scale redevelopment projects led to the displacement of low-income communities. While these projects were promoted as an opportunity for urban regeneration, they

often resulted in the relocation of vulnerable populations and increased housing prices (Kassens-Noor, 2012). In many cases, the benefits of these developments were not equally shared by all residents, and long-term residents found themselves pushed out by rising costs.

2. Community Engagement and Social Cohesion

On the positive side, mega sporting events can foster a sense of community and national pride. The 2010 FIFA World Cup in South Africa, for example, contributed to a greater sense of unity and collective identity among South Africans (Baade & Matheson, 2016). Volunteer programs and local involvement in organizing the events also provided opportunities for residents to engage in civic activities, promoting social cohesion. However, this sense of unity is often short-lived, and the benefits of community engagement may not extend beyond the event itself.

3. Social Inequality and Public Spending

Despite the potential for community development, the public funding required to host mega events often leads to criticism regarding social inequality. In many cases, public funds are used to build expensive sports facilities and infrastructure, while local communities see few direct benefits. The costs of hosting the event, including security, transportation, and facility construction, can place a significant burden on taxpayers (Coakley, 2011). Critics argue that these funds could be better spent on addressing local issues such as education, healthcare, and affordable housing.

4. Displacement and Housing Issues

Displacement remains a key concern, particularly for low-income communities. In the case of the 2008 Beijing Olympics, over a million people were reportedly displaced to make way for new construction projects (Broudehoux, 2007). Similar patterns have been observed in other host cities, where the construction of stadiums and related infrastructure often leads to the relocation of marginalized communities. This raises important ethical questions about who benefits from these events and who bears the costs.

Discussion

The social impact of mega sporting events is complex, with both positive and negative consequences for host cities. On the one hand, these events can serve as catalysts for urban development, improved infrastructure, and enhanced national pride. On the other hand, they can lead to displacement, social inequality, and increased living costs for local residents. The long-term legacy of hosting such events depends largely on how the event is planned, managed, and integrated into the city's development strategy.

While the economic and infrastructural benefits of mega events are often highlighted, the social costs cannot be overlooked. Cities must consider the needs of local communities and ensure that the benefits of hosting such events are distributed equitably. This requires careful planning, public consultation, and long-term investment in the welfare of residents, especially marginalized groups.

Conclusion

Mega sporting events can have significant social impacts on host cities, both positive and negative. While these events bring opportunities for urban regeneration, national pride, and community engagement, they also carry the risk of social

displacement, gentrification, and increased inequality. To ensure that the social impact of such events is positive, it is essential for cities to engage in thoughtful planning, prioritize the needs of local communities, and strive for equitable development that benefits all residents. The long-term legacy of hosting mega events must be carefully managed to ensure that the benefits extend beyond the event itself and contribute to sustainable, inclusive urban development.

References

1. Baade R, Matheson V. The economic impact of the Olympic Games: Ex ante estimates and lessons for London. *Journal of Sports Economics*. 2016;17(2):211-227.
2. Chalip L. Beyond impact: A general model for sport event leverage. In: Higham J, editor. *Sport tourism development*. Channel View Publications; 2006. p. 226-243.
3. Coakley J. *Sports in society: Issues and controversies*. 10th ed. McGraw-Hill; 2011.
4. Broudehoux A. The 2008 Beijing Olympics: A spectacular event and its social implications. *Asian Journal of Social Science*. 2007;35(4):421-438.
5. Gaffney C. The world's cities and the Olympics. *Urban Studies*. 2013;50(1):51-66.
6. Kassens-Noor E. Urban planning for mega events: The case of the 2010 FIFA World Cup in South Africa. *Planning Practice & Research*. 2012;27(2):139-153.
7. Matheson V, Baade R. The economic impact of the Olympics on host cities. *Journal of Sports Economics*. 2011;12(5):508-522.
8. Preuss H. *The economics of the Olympic Games: Hosting the Games 1972–2008*. Edward Elgar Publishing; 2007.